International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research (IJAMR) ISSN: 2393-8870 www.ijarm.com Coden:IJAMHQ(USA)

Review Article

SOI: http://s-o-i.org/1.15/ijarm-2016-3-2-1

An approach to women with Labial agglutination at different stages of life - A Review

Rajani Dube*

Room no.213, RAK College of Medical Sciences, RAK medical and Health Sciences University, Ras Al Khaimah. *Corresponding Author: *rajani.dube@rakmhsu.ac.ae*

Abstract

Keywords

Labial agglutination; vulvar fusion; Topical estrogen; imperforate hymen; urinary pseudoincontinence Introduction: Labial agglutination (LA) or vulvar agglutination is seen in females of different age groups. Careful and systematic genital examination is key to correct diagnosis and to differentiate it from other conditions. Causes and management at different age groups differ substantially. Materials and Methods: A review of all published data related to management of labial agglutination was conducted. The online databases SCIENCE DIRECT, PUBMED, CINHAL, EBSCO, SCOPUS, and UPTODATE were used for identifying relevant studies. Medical subject handling terms (MeSH) and free text terms such as labial agglutination and vulvar agglutination were used in combination with children, reproductive age and postmenopausal women for the search of all published articles regarding the presentation, causes and management. The data was compiled and analyzed by systematic review to formulate ideal management approaches relevant to the different age groups. Conclusion: LA occurs primarily due to estrogen deficiency in children and elderly though inflammation plays a significant role. It is due to severe inflammation or trauma in adults. Most cases are asymptomatic in childhood. Most common symptoms are urinary in all age groups. Topical estrogen is most effective as first line therapy in children. Reproductive age women need surgical separation in almost all cases. In most menopausal women, manual separation is an effective method of treatment. Topical estrogen is effective for prevention of recurrence. Various modifications of surgical technique can also successfully prevent recurrences.

1. Introduction

Labial agglutination constitutes a group of benign conditions which are associated with adhesion of both lips of labia. This can be of various types with milder forms constituting of partial fusion of labia minora to most severe forms being complete fusion of labia minora & majora obliterating urethral meatus. This condition is often also loosely referred to as vulvar agglutination, fused labia and vulvar fusion.

1.1.Incidence and Prevalence

Incidence of Labial Agglutination (LA) varies in different age groups and worldwide incidence is not available. It is commonest in prepubertal girls, fairly common in menopausal women and rarely seen in reproductive age women. In different studies the reported incidence ranges from as little as 0.6% to as high as 38.9%.[1,2,3,4,5]Reported incidence in the United states was 5% among pediatric population in one study [3]while in another study from UK, cases with labial agglutination consisted of 14% of all referrals to tertiary center in girls.[6] However, as most cases in children are not reported due to lack of symptoms, the actual prevalence is likely to be higher than reported .[7]

The most frequent age group affected are children between 13 to 23 months in one study and between two to six years in another study.[3, 8]

1.2. Materials and Methods

A review of all published data related to management of labial agglutination was conducted. The online databases SCIENCE DIRECT, PUBMED, CINHAL, EBSCO, SCOPUS, and UPTODATE were used for identifying relevant studies. Medical subject handling terms (MeSH) and free text terms such as labial agglutination, vulvar agglutination were used in combination with children .reproductive age and postmenopausal women for the search of all published articles regarding the presentation, causes and management. The data was compiled and analyzed by systematic review to formulate ideal management approaches relevant to the different age groups.

1.3. Diagnosis

Diagnosis of LA can be clinical made by careful inspection. Starting from Labia majora, the structures are carefully inspected to identify both labia minora and vaginal opening. In presence of LA there will be fusion of lips of labia minora with or without involvement of labia majora either partially or completely. The typical appearance is a flat appearing genitalia with loss of elasticity and a midline vertical translucent membrane. This membrane may be thin and fragile or may be even dense and thick. When labia



Figure-1: Normal vulva in newborn [10]



Figure-2: Labial agglutination [11]

1.4. Clinical presentation

There are a wide range of symptoms associated with LA. Most common symptoms are urinary e.g. difficulty in voiding and recurrent urinary tract infection (UTI) although patients can present with vulvar symptoms like burning, itching, pain, dyspareunia, discomfort during menstruation, vaginal discharge and even pseudocyst formation. [14,15,16]

Though LA cannot cause UTIs per se, it is reasonable to think that the pooled urine (which is) retained above the fusion line, can facilitate ascending infection. This has also been demonstrated by modalities like voiding urethrocystography in these females. [17]

Most of the cases in children are asymptomatic and are missed easily. When present, symptoms are likely to be dribbling from the pooled urine, of vulvovaginitis or of UTI. [9,18,19,20]

minora is fused with labia majora, labia minora may be nonidentifiable or very small. Clitoris may also be non-evident in case of anterior fusion due to presence of scar tissues around. For an accurate diagnosis this condition has to be differentiated from vaginal atresia, Gartner's duct cyst, ambiguous genitalia and imperforate hymen especially in children, [9] where as other mass occupying conditions like skin tags, polyp, urethrocele, prolapse or diverticulum of urethra needs consideration in older females. [Figures-1,2,3,4][10,11,12,13]



Figure-3: Ambiguous genitalia [12]



Figure-4: Imperforate Hymen [13]

1.5. Causes

As LA is common before puberty and after menopause, it is thought that estrogen deficiency plays a major role in formation of adhesion. [1,2] Though believed to be a congenital condition, it is very rare to see fused labia in newborn infants. [1,3,21] This can be explained by protective effect obtained from circulating maternal estrogen. In girls before puberty, vulvar injury or inflammation in an estrogen deficient labial epithelium causes scar formation which acts as an adhesion band and causes labial fusion. [22] Vulvovaginitis and even pinworm infestation can be causative factor in adhesion formation in children. [23] Though hypoestrogenism is blamed for LA, when serum estradiole levels were compared among those with or without LA in a study, it was found that there was no statistical difference. [24]

It can therefore be interpreted that both (rather than either one) of these factors should be present for the development of LA. Though they might not be related, in children with adhesions in presence of genital trauma, genital mutilation and sexual abuse should also be suspected. [25,26, 27]

Vulvar skin conditions like lichen sclerosus, lichen planus, pemphigoid, Behcet syndrome, Stevens-Johnson syndrome / toxic epidermal necrolysis and vulvar cancer can present with symptoms similar to LA but these conditions usually have other symptoms pertaining to primary disease in addition.

In women of reproductive age group estrogen deficiency is unlikely, and genital trauma and infections are responsible for majority of the fusions though it can occur spontaneously in some cases. In literature, cases have also been reported following spontaneous or vacuum assisted delivery,[28-37] as a complications of surgery like pelvic floor repair, [35-37] infections especially after Herpes simplex I and II infection [38,39] and pemphigoid.[40] LA has also been associated with lichen sclerosus, in which adhesions are characteristically dense and difficult to treat. These adhesions when seen in children, can persist into puberty and adulthood. [41]

2. Management of agglutination at different age groups

2.1. Management of labial agglutination in prepubertal girls

There is much debate on whether treatment is required in all cases of LA. Only one study involving ten girls have concluded a 100% spontaneous resolution when followed up till 18 months of age.[42] [Table-1] As most of the cases of LA in pediatric age group are asymptomatic, and it rarely persists after puberty (evident by limited case reports), [42,43] it is rational to have conservative management in patients without symptoms. Counseling parents about the prognosis and possibility of spontaneous resolution in cases with small adhesions helps in prevention of unnecessary interventions and is preferred by some physicians. [44,45] Regular screening for bacteriuria is advisable in cases where wait and watch management is undertaken as in a study by Leung et al it was seen that 6 out of 33 (18%) girls have asymptomatic bacteriuria as compared to 0% in controls with no labial fusion.[3]

In cases where there are symptoms, the adhesion is severe at presentation and parenteral concern and anxiety is considerable, treatment should be initiated. Topical estrogen application is simple to practice and should be used as first line of management. In the literature, various estrogen preparations has been used for treatment, but conjugated estrogen seems to be most useful among them. Though there are differences in frequency of applications and duration of treatment most of them used once or twice application for two to six weeks.

Success of topical estrogen treatment is generally high with reported rates of 90%, [1,46] in some studies where as 47 % in another larger study. [7] As the latter study considered failure assessed after only two weeks of treatment, the actual rate might have been high if treatment would have been continued for longer period. Some of the practitioners also recommend daily application of a bland ointment after bath to prevent recurrence of adhesion after estrogen treatment.

Topical betamethasone alone or in combination with estrogen has been used by some of the researchers with a reported success rate of 68% in betamethasone alone in one study [47] while another study reported no significant difference in success rates in groups treated with either agents or the combination [15.4%;15.6%].[48]

One of the studies compared the duration of treatment required and reported that shorter duration of treatment is required in patients using betamethasone as compared to estrogen [1.3 months Vs 2.2 months].[18]

Manual separation of labia is employed in cases with thin adhesion bands which do not respond to topical medication. A mechanical probe like sound, catheter or even swab with cotton tip is used to reach an area behind the fusion line. Traction is then applied pulling it forward to separate the fusion. While topical anesthetics can be used in office settings for the procedure to avoid complications related to systemic anesthesia, it can pose technical difficulties, can be painful to the patients and cause significant discomfort to the parents. [49] In some cultures it may not be socially acceptable as well. For these reasons, local anaesthesia is generally not preferred [1] despite reports of high success rates. [7]

Thick adhesion bands need to be dissected by sharp dissection. Better visualization as well as avoidance of pain and movement can be achieved by surgical separation in operating room under general anesthesia. Various methods have been employed by practitioners to prevent recurrence after separation starting from modifications of standard operating technique [50,51] to use of estrogen post operatively.

In reported studies, various options were used for management including estrogen, betamethasone, manual separation, surgical management and different combinations of them. [52-57] [Table-1]

International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research. (2016). 3(2): 1-10 Table-1: Management of labial agglutination in Pre-pubertal females

Author (Year of publication)	Type of study	No. of cases, age group	Treatment	Comments
Muram D (1999)	Retrospective review	289 cases;	Topical estrogen	Separation in nearly half of patients by topical estrogen. Other half required separation under anesthesia
Jurayyan NAMA (2012)	Case report	5 cases; 6 months to 4 years	Topical estrogen	4 out of 5 responded to topical estrogen. 1 needed surgical separation
Girton S.et al (2006)	Retrospective review	16 cases; 9 months to 34 years	Topical estrogen cream; once a day for 1 week and 3 times a week for 4-6 weeks	9 experienced complete resolution 6 needed surgical separation under anaesthesia
Mayoglou L et al (2009)	Retrospective chart review	151 cases; 0.25 to 8.75 years	Topical estrogen Topical betamethasone	Patients treated with premarin took nearly twice as long compared to those treated with betamethasone (2.2 months Vs 1.3 months) for resolution of adhesions
Jenkinson SD et al (1984)	Case report	10cases ; 10-22 months of age	Observation alone	In all the cases there was spontaneous separation
Myers JB et al (2006)	Case report	19 cases; 12 to 132 months	Betamethasone cream (0.05%) 1 to 3 courses of twice- daily application for 4 to 6 weeks	successful in treating 13/19 (68%).4 received no treatment, 14 received conjugated estrogen and 1 had surgical lysis before Safe and effective Avoids side effects
Ero lu et al (2011)	Retrospective study	131 cases;	Topical estrogen Topical betamethasone Combined estrogen and betamethasone topical application Each group for 4 weeks	Success with topical estrogen cream only $11/71(15.4\%)$, $5/32(15.6\%)$ with betamethasone cream only, $5/28(28.5\%)$ with a combination of estrogen and betamethasone creams. No statistically significant difference between each single agent therapy, each agent with combination therapy or combination therapy with each combined together (relative risk 1.85; 95% confidence interval 0.89-3.89; $P = .11$).
Nurzia et al (2003)	Retrospective review	9 cases; 3-6 years	Sharp dissection under general anaesthesia	Patients were with failed medical treatment; 100% success with no recurrence. Edges were approximated with 7-0 chromic catgut
Velander MH et al (2009)	Case report	1 case; 13 months	Topical Conjugated estrogen for 2 weeks Recurrence successfully treated with topical conjugated estrogen for 6 weeks	Failure with betamethasone dipropionate Recurrence after 6 months treated with Topical estradiole caused no effect with significant local irritation
Tutku Soyer (2007)	Prospective study	49 cases; Group -1- 14 months Group-2-14.6 months Group-3- 12.8 months	Group-1:Topical estrogen Group-2:Manual separation (MS) under local anaesthesia Group-3: MS with prophylactic topical estrogen	12/18 (66.6%) separation with 11% (2 patients) recurrence in group-1 12/14 (85.7%) separation with 14.2% (2 patients) recurrence in group-2 17/17 (100%) separation & no recurrence in group-3
Schober J et al (2006)	Retrospective chart review	109 cases; 3 months to 10 years	Topical estrogen treatment	Separation in 79% (85/107), Recurrence in 41% (44/107).21% (22/107) required surgery. (2/21) had recurrent fusion after surgery (10%)
Kumetz et al (2006)	Retrospective study	67 cases; 6months- 14 years	Topical estrogen	Estrogen local application is effective in avoidance of surgery in 35% of patients with persistent or recurrent disease

Bacon et al (2002)	Retrospective chart review	23 cases;	Failure of medical therapy Manual separation	22 of the 23 patients required manual separation. Nine of 23 girls had recurrence of adhesions. Four girls required a repeat manual separation because of recurrent thick adhesions. One of 4 girls required a third manual separation. Five of the 9 recurrences were treated successfully with topical estrogen.
Davis et al (2001)	Retrospective chart review	251 cases; 4 months -16 years	Topical estrogen	Separation in 228 (91%) with local estrogen applied between 2-6 weeks, maximum within 4 weeks(44%); surgery was required

2.2. Management of labial agglutination in reproductive age women

Though the incidence of LA in these women is low compared to extremes life (i.e prepubertal or postmenopausal age), cases have been reported in the literature. LA can occur following female circumcision and other skin disorders like lichen sclerosis, herpes simplex, diabetes, pemphigoid and caustic vaginitis. There has also been reports of LA following vaginal delivery and spontaneous closure during pregnancy.[58] When seen in reproductive age women, it is unlikely to be due to estrogen deficiency.

Literature regarding management in these women is limited favoring surgical management as opposed to medications. Corticosteroids and local anesthetics in addition to surgical separation were beneficial in cases following herpetic infection,[59,60,62] whereas surgical separation only was effective in cases seen in pregnancy or postpartum. [61,63,64][Table-2]

Table 2	l. Managamant	of Labia	Logalutination in	roproductivo	ago womon
[I able-2	j: Management	OI Ladia	l agglutination ir	i reproductive	age women

Author (Year of publication)	Cause	No. of patients	Intervention	Comments
Hatim Omar (2000)	Genital herpes	7	Local anaethetic Surgical correction	5 out of 7 had resolution of symptoms with anaesthetics 2 needed surgical correction
Herieka et al (2001)	Genital herpes	2	Sharp dissection under local anaesthesia Conservative with oral valciclovir, metronidazole, topical lignocaine gel and saline bath	Resolution in both
Seehusen et al (2007)	Postpartum	1	Division under local anaesthesia	Complete recovery in 3 days
Markos AR (2004)	Genital Herpes	1	Topical corticosteroid	Separation without residual effect Manual separation under local anesthesia was unsuccessful
Uei T et al (2000)	Unknown	1	Dissection under lumbar anaesthesia	Separation without recurrence
Kucuk M et al (2011)	Unknown Occurred during pregnancy	1	Surgical correction	Complete resolution

2.3. Management of labial agglutination in postmenopausal women

Though not very common, it is not unusual to see labial fusion in postmenopausal women. Estrogen deficiency plays a major role in labial fusion by making it susceptible to injuries. Furthermore, it is more likely for carcinoma vulva or vagina to occur in this age group than the others. In presence of symptoms other than that is expected for labial fusion, e.g pruritus, secondary causes should be sought. Most of the women present with urinary symptoms like incontinence following retention in the pouch [65-69] and recurrent UTIs. Ascending infection can even rarely present as pyosalpinx. [70]

Separation of labial agglutination is done by manual separation [Figure 5, 6, 7] or surgical dissection in these women to relieve the symptoms. Other associated complications like pyosalpinx (if present) can be managed at the same time. Postoperative use of topical estrogen helps in prevention as well as treatment of any further recurrence. [65]

International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research. (2016). 3(2): 1-10





Figure-5: Labial agglutination in a postmenopausal women [original]

Figure-6: Manual separation using a metallic sound [original]



Figure-7: Vulva after separation [original]

3. Recurrent Labial Agglutination

Recurrence of agglutination in previously treated cases of LA is significant and it seems to be independent of the method used for separation. The reported rates vary between 14% and 41% in different studies. [7,21,47,49,55,56,57,71]

Recurrence can be seen after treatment in all the age groups. In a study involving 48 cases with recurrence, it was found that 83% of recurrences were following Topical estrogen use alone and in 14% patients the recurrence was following manual separation with or without topical estrogen.[55] The raw area after separation poses high risk for recurrence. Subsequent agglutinations occurring after a surgical separation, are usually dense and tough. [72]

While the best treatment for recurrence is yet to be standardized, Topical estrogen appears to be effective as a first line treatment in recurrences occurring after surgery. In a study it was seen that Topical estrogen could prevent surgery in 35% of cases where recurrence followed earlier manual separation. [55] [Table-3]

Author (Year of publication)	No. of cases	Modality	Comment
Capraro VJ et al (1972)	10	Topical estrogen	Complete separation in 60%(6/10) cases
Velander MH et al (2009)	1	Topical estrogen	
Schober J et al (2006)	44/107 recurrence 2 had recurrence after surgery	22 cases topical estrogen 22 cases required surgical separation	Estrogen was not helpful in separation in cases where there was recurrence after surgery
Kumetz LM et al (2006)	48	Surgery in 5 cases due to urinary symptoms Topical estrogen in 43 cases	15 /43 had separation, 9 required surgery Topical estrogen was successful in preventing manual separation in 2 out of 5cases where previous manual separation was done
Bacon JL (2002)	primary medical failure 9/23; recurrence after manual separation	2 cases no treatment 4 cases topical estrogen Repeat manual separation	1 required 3 rd separation

[Table-3]: Management of Recurrent Labial agglutination

While it is a good practice to maintain good vulvar hygiene and elaborated recommendations like frequently changing of diapers, use of cotton only underwear, perfume free soaps ,practicing front to back wiping, limiting deodorant and bubble baths have been made earlier; in a recent study involving 110 patients it was found that there is no correlation between recurrence of labial fusion with factors like body weight percentile, frequency of diaper change, frequency of diaper dermatitis, hygiene products used, presence of infection, presence of allergy, mother's use of oral contraceptive drugs before pregnancy, or presence of any pathology in other family members.[73]

4. Surgical technique

Surgical separation of LA is done in different situations. Though done mostly for cases where medical therapy and manual separation fails or there is a recurrence, it has been used as primary modality in cases with severe urinary symptoms and significant parenteral anxiety.

Most common indications for surgery are failure of medical treatment (13 out of 23), followed by demand by parents, reaction to cream and urinary obstruction. It was also seen in a study that surgical interventions were more likely to be required in children over 6 years of age even after prolonged medication. [57]

Standard surgical method involves sharp dissection under anaesthesia. Several methods are employed to decrease chances for recurrence including split-thickness skin grafts. In nine cases, only reapproximation of the edges with 7-0 chromic catgut was tried and no recurrences were reported by 8.6 months follow-up.[50] Other studies reported successful use of acellular dermal allograft and even cutting seton with postoperative topical clobetasol to prevent recurrence in cases with agglutination following lichen planus and squamous cell carcinoma of vagina. [74,75]

Pieces of saline infusion tubes and amniotic membrane were sutured to the raw area after separation successfully in few other studies to prevent further recurrence. [29,51]

5. Conclusion

- Careful and systematic physical examination of vulvar area is the key to correct diagnosis of cases with vulvar agglutination and helps in eliminating other differentials.
- In asymptomatic prepubertal girls, maintaining good vulvar hygiene, treating vulvovaginitis and conservative expectant management till natural onset of puberty should be done. These girls should be followed up with regular screening for asymptomatic bacteriuria.
- There is lack of uniformity in the studies regarding the frequency and duration of topical estrogen treatment. The possibility of inadequate duration of treatment contributing to reported

failure of therapy cannot be ruled out. Taking into account the evidence by published literature, it is recommended that twice application of conjugated estrogen for at least 4 to 6 weeks should be done. If there are evidences of separation, treatment can be extended upto 3 months in absence of side effects. There is no role for oral estrogen therapy.

- If there are no evidence of separation at end of 6 weeks, it should be considered as failure of topical estrogen treatment.
- Side effects are mild with topical estrogen treatment and are limited to breast pain or tenderness, headache, hair loss, mild nausea or vomiting, spotting or breakthrough bleeding, stomach cramps or bloating. These are reversible after stoppage of treatment.
- If side effects are bothersome, Topical estrogen should be stopped and alternative form of treatment should be employed.
- Data regarding use of topical betamethasone is inconclusive and can be considered in cases with no separation using estrogen.
- Manual separation of labia under short duration general anesthesia in an operating room set up should be employed when topical estrogen therapy fails.
- When surgical separation is required, sharp dissection should be done to separate adhesions.
- Women in reproductive age group with labial agglutination need surgical separation as a primary modality. Maintaining local hygiene, local anesthetics and saline bath should be practiced especially in cases with infectious causes like herpes genitalis to avoid agglutination.
- Postmenopausal women respond best to manual separation with postoperative topical estrogen therapy. Surgical separation should be done in cases with failure or recurrence.
- To prevent recurrence, maintaining good vulvar hygiene and use of bland ointment after separation are potentially beneficial and should be practiced. Use of topical estrogen following separation is favored.
- In cases with recurrence after a primary surgical separation, any of the methods like suturing, acellular graft or saline infusion tubes can be used to prevent further recurrence.

References

- [1] Capraro VJ, Greenberg H. Adhesions of the labia minora. Obstet Gynecol. 1972;39:65-69.
- [2] Berkowitz CD, Elvik SD, Logan MK. Labial fusion in prepubescent girls: a marker for sexual abuse? AM J Obstet Gynecol. 1987;156:16-20.

- [3] Leung AK, Robson WL, Tay-Uyboco J. The incidence of labial fusion in children. J Paediatr Child Health. 1993;29:235-236.
- [4] McCann J, Wells R, Simon MD, Voris J. Genital findings in prepubertal girls selected for nonabuse: a descriptive study. Pediatrics. 1990;86:428-439.
- [5] Berenson AB, Heger AH, Hayes JM, Bailey RK, Emans SJ. Appearance of the hymen in prepubertal girls. Pediatrics. 1992;89:387-394.
- [6] McGreal S, Wood P. A study of paediatric and adolescent gynaecology services in a British district general hospital. BJOG 2010;117:1643–1650
- [7] Muram D. Treatment of prepubertal girls with labial adhesions. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 1999;12:67-70.
- [8]Huffman JW, Dewhurst CJ, Capraro VJ. The Gynecology of Childhood and Adolescence. 2nd ed. Philadelphia, Pa: WB Saunders; 1981.
- [9]Starr NB. Labial adhesions in childhood. J Pediatr Health Care. 1996;10:26-27.
- [10] Syed-Ansari, T, Murray P et al. Glob. libr. women's med., (ISSN: 1756-2228) 2008; DOI 10.3843/GLOWM.10010
- [11] Al Jurayyan NAM .Labial Agglutination (Adhesion) in Pre-Pubertal Girls. What a Primary Care Physician Should Know? Primary Health Care. 2012; 2:128. doi:10.4172/2167-1079.1000128
- [12] Kendirci HN, Aycan Z, Çetinkaya S, Ba VN, A ladıo lu SY, Önder A ;A rare combination: congenital adrenal hyperplasia due to 21 hydroxylase deficiency and Turner syndrome.; J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol. 2012 Dec;4(4):213-5. doi: 10.4274/jcrpe.767
- [13] Schober J, Sharifiaghdas F, Abdi H,Pakmanesh H,Eslami N, Imperforate Hymen and Urinary Retention in a Newborn Girl; Journal of Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology; 2009 Feb; 22(1):49–51
- [14] Bista KD, Gurung G,Rana A; Labial fusion in an Adult: A case report; N. J. Obstet. Gynaecol; 2006 Nov-Dec; 1(2):61 - 62
- [15]Kiyo H. Labial adhesion in a reproductive woman with difficulties of sexual intercourse and urination: a case report; 2000 Jun;46(6):433-6
- [16] Girton S, Kennedy C, Galask R. Characteristics And Outcomes Of 16 Patients With Symptomatic Labial Adhesion. The Internet Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics; 2006;7(1).
- [17] Ben-Ami T, Boichis H, Hertz M. Fused labia: clinical and radiological findings. Pediatr Radiol. 1978;7:33-35.
- [18] Mayoglou L, Dulabon L, Martin-Alguacil N, Pfaff D, Schober J.;Success of treatment modalities for labial fusion: a retrospective evaluation of topical and surgical treatments.; J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2009 Aug;22(4):247-50. doi: 10.1016/j.jpag.2008.09.003
- [19] Pokorny SF. Prepubertal vulvovaginopathies. Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am. 1992;19:39-58.
- [20] Sanfilippo JS, Muram D, Lee PA, Dewhurst J. Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology. Philadelphia, Pa: W.B. Saunders; 1994.
- [21] Nowlin P, Adams JR, Nalle BC. Vulvar fusion. J Urol. 1949;62:75-79.

- [22] Omar HA. Management of labial adhesions in prepubertal girls. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2000;13:183-186.
- [23]Kar SS, Mahapatro S, Panigrahi PK, Dube R- A rare cause of labial agglutination in a preschool childpinworm infestation. The Antiseptic, 2010; 104(4):162-163
- [24] Caglar MK. Serum estradiol levels in infants with and without labial adhesions: the role of estrogen in the etiology and treatment. Pediatr Dermatol. Jul-Aug 2007;24(4):373-5.
- [25] Kellog ND, Para JM and Menard S. Children with anogenital symptoms and signs referred for sexual abuse evaluations. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 1998; 152: 634-641.
- [26] Smith YR, Berman DR, Quint EH. Premenarchal vaginal discharge: findings of procedures to rule out foreign bodies. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2002; 15: 227-230.
- [27] Powell JJ, Wojnarowska F. Childhood vulvar lichen sclerosus: an increasingly common problem. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2001; 44: 803-806.
- [28]Shaver D, Ling F, Muram D. Labial adhesions in a postpartum patient. Obstet Gynecol 1986;68:S24–5
- [29] Lin Y, Hwang J, Huang L, Chou C. Amniotic membrane grafting to treat refractory labial adhesions postpartum. J Reprod Med 2002;47:235–7.
- [30] Greaves P, Elder R, Copas P. Labial adhesions as a result of caustic vaginitis in a postpartum patient. J Gynecol Surg 1998;14:129 –31.
- [31] Arkin A, Chern-Hughes B. Case report: labial fusion postpartum and clinical management of labial lacerations.J Midwifery Women Health 2001;47(4): 290–2.
- [32] Davenport D, Richardson D. Labial adhesions secondary to postpartum vulvar edema. J Reprod Med 1986;31(6):523–4.
- [33] Yoong A, Alderman B. A large labial adhesion following normal delivery. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 1990;69:443.
- [34] Steele E, Lowry D. Labial adhesions following normal delivery. J Obstet Gynaecol 2002;22(5):555.
- [35] Sharma B, Arora R, Preston J. Postpartum labial adhesions following normal vaginal delivery. J Obstet Gynaecol 2005;25(2):215.
- [36]Awang N .Report of labial fusion in pubertal girl with urinary signs and symptoms-a delayed consequence of female circumcisions. The Aust NZ J Obstet Gynecol 2004; 44: 4.
- [37]KumarKR, Aggarwal S, Chanana C, Kumar S ,Malhotra N. Arch Obstet Gynecol 2006;(273) : 243-245.
- [38]Thompson C.Labial agglutination and herpes simplex type I infection. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1988 Oct;159(4):1016-7.
- [39]DeMarco BJ, Crandall RS, Hreshchyshyn MM., Labial agglutination secondary to a herpes simplex II infection. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1987 Aug;157(2):296-7.
- [40] Ikegaya H, Kato A, Matsushima H, Takai K, Hosaka Y, Kitamura T. A case of cicatricial pemphigoid

producing severe dysuria due to labial adhesion. BJU Int 1999;83(6):735-6.

- [41] Breech LL, Laufer MR. Surgicel in the management of labial and clitoral hood adhesions in adolescents with lichen sclerosus J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol 2000;13:21– 2 doi:10.1016/S1083-3188(99)00029-7.
- [42] Jenkinson SD, Mackinnon AE. Spontaneous separation of fused labia minora in prepubertal girls. Br Med J. 1984;289:160-161
- [43] Evruke C, Ozgunen MD, Kadayifci O, Atay Y, Demir C, Aridogan N. Labial fusion in a prepubertal girl: a case report. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 1996;9:81-82.
- [44] Emans SJ, Laufer MR, Goldstein DP. Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology. Philadelphia, Pa: Lippincott-Raven; 1998.
- [45] Anderson OW. Labial adhesions. JAMA. 1956;162:951-953.
- [46] Aribarg A. Topical oestrogen therapy for labial adhesions in children. Br J Obstet Gynaecol. 1975;82:424-425.
- [47] Myers JB, Sorensen CM, Wisner BP, Furness PD 3rd, Passamaneck M et al. Betamethasone cream for the treatment of pre-pubertal labial adhesions. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol.2006;19: 407-411.
- [48] Ero lu E, Yip M, Oktar T, Kayiran SM, Mocan H.How Should We Treat Prepubertal Labial Adhesions? Retrospective Comparison of Topical Treatments: Estrogen Only, Betamethasone Only, and Combination Estrogen and Betamethasone ;Journal of Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology.2011 Dec;24(6):389-391
- [49] Smith C, Smith DP. Office pediatric urologic procedures from a parental perspective. Urology. 2000;55:272-276.
- [50] Nurzia MJ, Eickhorst MS, Ankem MK, Barone JG. The surgical treatment of labial adhesions in prepubertal girls. J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2003;16:21-23.
- [51]Silva D, Jayalath GKC, Ranaweera AKP, Jayawardane M, Sudeshika MDA.A new method of surgical treatment for recurrent labial adhesions in a pre-pubertal girl.Ceylon Medical Journal.2012; 57: 168-169
- [52]Velander MH, Mikkelsen DB, Bygum A. Labial agglutination in a prepubertal girl: effect of topical oestrogen.Acta Derm Venereol. 2009;89(2):198-9. doi: 10.2340/00015555-0586.
- [53]Soyer T.Topical Estrogen Therapy in Labial Adhesions in Children: Therapeutic or Prophylactic? Journal of Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology.2007 Aug;20(4):241-244
- [54]Schober J, Dulabon L, Martin-Alguacil N, Kow LM, Pfaff D. Significance of topical estrogens to labial fusion and vaginal introital integrity. Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2006 Oct;19(5):337-9.
- [55] Kumetz LM, Quint EH, Fisseha S, Smith YR. Estrogen treatment success in recurrent and persistent labial agglutination; J Pediatr Adolesc Gynecol. 2006 Dec;19(6):381-4.
- [56]Bacon JL. Prepubertal labial adhesions: Evaluation of a referral population. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2002;187:327-32

- [57] Davis VJ, Coates M. Success of medical management of labial adhesions.Journal of Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology.2001 Aug;14(3):142
- [58] Onan MA, Turp AB, Taskiran C, Ozogul C, Himmetoglu O. Spontaneous closure of the hymen during pregnancy. American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2005 Sep;193(3):889-891
- [59] Omar H. Labial adhesion as a complication of primary genital herpes in young women. Journal of Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology.2000 May;13(2):94
- [60] Herieka E, Dhar J, Labial adhesions following severe primary genital herpes. Sex Transm Infect. 2001;77:75 doi:10.1136/sti.77.1.75
- [61] Seehusen DA, Earwood JS.Postpartum labial adhesions. J Am Board Fam Med. 2007 Jul-Aug; 20(4):408-10.
- [62] Markos AR. Successful management of vulvar adhesions with potent topical corticosteroids: a case report. J Reprod Med. 2004 May;49(5):398-400.
- [63] Uei T, Katou Y, Shimizu N, Yamanaka H, Seki M, Ibuki R.Labial adhesion in a reproductive woman with difficulties of sexual intercourse and urination: a case report. Hinyokika Kiyo. 2000 Jun;46(6):433-6.
- [64] Kucuk M, Halil S, Ocer F, Oral E.Labial fusion first diagnosed during pregnancy with voiding difficulty and its management: a case report. Clin Exp Obstet Gynecol. 2011;38(1):94-5.
- [65] Nevarez Bernal RA, Meraz Avila D.Fusion of the labia minora as a cause of urinary incontinence in a postmenopausal woman.A case report and literature review. Ginecol Obstet Mex. 2009 Jun;77(6):287-90
- [66] Dirim A, Hasirci E.Labial fusion causing urinary incontinence and recurrent urinary tract infection in a postmenopausal female: a case report. Int Urogynecol J. 2011 Jan;22(1):119-20. doi: 10.1007/s00192-010-1205-2.
- [67] Palla L, De Angelis B, Lucarini L, Spallone D, Palla G, Cervelli V.A case of labial fusion and urinary pseudoincontinence in an elderly woman. A surgical treatment and a review. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2010 May;14(5):491-3.
- [68] Julia J, Yacoub M, Levy G. Labial fusion causing urinary incontinence in a postmenopausal female: a case report. Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct. 2003 Nov;14(5):360-1.
- [69] Acharya N, Mandal AK, Ranjan P, Kamat R, Kumar S, Singh SK.Labial fusion causing pseudoincontinence in an elderly woman. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2007 Dec;99(3):246-7
- [70] Tsianos GI, Papatheodorou SI, Michos GM, George Koliopoulos G,Stefos T. Pyosalpinx as a sequela of labial fusion in a post-menopausal woman: a case report Journal of Medical Case Reports. 2011; 5:546 doi:10.1186/1752-1947-5-546
- [71] Leung AK, Robson WL, Kao CP, Liu EK, Fong JH.Treatment of labial fusion with topical estrogen therapy. Clin Pediatr 2005; 44: 245-247.
- [72]Michala S M.Fused labia: a paediatric approach. The Obstetrician and Gynaecologist 2009;11:261-4.

- [73] Acer T, Ötgün I, Öztürk O, Kocaba T, Tezcan AY, Çırak A et al. Do hygienic factors affect labial fusion recurrence? A search for possible related etiologic factors.Journal of Pediatric Surgery.2012 Oct; 47(10):1913-1918
- [74] Stany MP, Sunde J, Bidus MA, Rose GS, Elkas JC. The use of acellular dermal allograft for vulvovaginal

reconstruction. Int J Gynecol Cancer. 2010 Aug;20(6):1079-81.

[75] Selco MM, Doss RH, Gruber DD, Shippey SH.Labial fusion causing recurrent cyst formation and a novel approach to surgical management; Female Pelvic Med Reconstr Surg. 2013Sep-Oct;19(5):312-4.



How to cite this article:

Rajani Dube. (2016). An approach to women with Labial agglutination at different stages of life - A Review. International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research 3(2): 1-10.