International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research
ISSN: 2393-8870
www.ijarm.com
(A Peer Reviewed, Referred, Indexed and Open Access Journal)
DOI: 10.22192/ijamr Volume 13, Issue 5-2026

Research Article

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22192/ijamr.2026.13.05.002

Synthesizing heterogeneous healthcare databases for better healthcare services: Hospitals in Ibadan and Lagos as a Case Study

Idoko Peter Samson[1] and Egheneji Akpevwe Wealth[2]
[1]Department of Computer Science, Federal Polytechnic, Orogun
[2]Department of Computer Science, Federal Polytechnic, Orogun
[1]Email: *idoko.peter@fepo.edu.ng,* [2]Email: *egheneji.akpevwe@fepo.edu.ng*

Keywords

Healthcare database integration, database heterogeneity, healthcare service delivery, interoperability, health information systems, Nigeria.

Abstract

Healthcare service delivery has become increasingly dependent on effective information management systems in the digital era, particularly with the widespread adoption of electronic health records, laboratory systems, and other digital platforms. However, in many developing countries including Nigeria, these systems are often implemented in a fragmented manner, resulting in heterogeneous databases that operate independently with limited interoperability. This fragmentation undermines the efficiency, quality, and coordination of healthcare service delivery, especially in urban centers such as Ibadan and Lagos where multiple digital systems coexist across hospital departments. This study examined how synthesizing heterogeneous healthcare databases can improve healthcare service delivery in selected hospitals in Ibadan and Lagos. A descriptive survey research design was adopted for the study. The population comprised healthcare professionals, including clinicians, nurses, administrators, and IT personnel, across three selected hospitals with an estimated population of 480. A sample size of 120 respondents was selected using purposive sampling technique. Data were collected using a structured questionnaire designed on a four-point Likert scale, and the data obtained were analyzed using descriptive statistics, specifically mean and standard deviation, with a decision benchmark of 2.50. The findings revealed that hospitals operate multiple independent healthcare databases, indicating a high level of system heterogeneity. It was also found that poor infrastructure, inadequate funding, and lack of technical expertise constitute major challenges to database integration. Furthermore, database fragmentation was found to negatively affect healthcare service delivery through duplication of diagnostic tests, delays in treatment, and poor clinical decision-making. Conversely, the integration of healthcare databases

significantly improves efficiency, enhances coordination, supports better decision-making, and reduces redundancy in service delivery. The study concludes that synthesizing heterogeneous healthcare databases is critical for improving healthcare service delivery in Nigeria. Effective integration enhances operational efficiency, promotes continuity of care, and improves patient outcomes, making it a strategic necessity for modern healthcare systems. Based on these findings, the study recommends, among other things, that hospitals should adopt interoperability standards such as HL7 and FHIR to ensure seamless data exchange, and that government and relevant stakeholders should invest in healthcare infrastructure and capacity building in health informatics to support effective system integration.

Introduction

1.1 Background to the Study

Healthcare service delivery, which represents the dependent variable of this study, has increasingly become reliant on effective information management systems in the modern digital era. Globally, healthcare systems are undergoing rapid transformation driven by advances in information and communication technologies (ICTs). The adoption of electronic health records (EHRs), laboratory information systems, pharmacy databases, and administrative platforms has significantly enhanced the ability of healthcare providers to collect, store, and utilize patient data for improved clinical outcomes. These digital innovations support evidence-based decision-making, improve patient safety, and enhance the overall efficiency of healthcare delivery (Bates et al., 2018; World Health Organization [WHO], 2019).

A critical factor in maximizing the benefits of these digital health systems is interoperability, which refers to the ability of different information systems, devices, and applications to access, exchange, integrate, and cooperatively use data in a coordinated manner (Hersh et al., 2013; Health Level Seven International, 2020). In well-developed healthcare systems, interoperability enables seamless data sharing across departments and institutions, thereby supporting continuity of care, reducing medical errors, and enhancing operational efficiency. For instance, integrated health information systems allow clinicians to access comprehensive patient histories in real time, which improves diagnostic accuracy and treatment outcomes (Adler-Milstein & Jha, 2017).

Despite these global advancements, the adoption of digital health systems in many developing countries, including Nigeria, has been largely fragmented and uncoordinated. Healthcare institutions often implement various digital solutions independently across departments without adequate planning for integration. This has resulted in the emergence of heterogeneous databases, where multiple systems operate in isolation with limited or no interoperability (Adeleke et al., 2015; Ojo & Popoola, 2015). Such fragmentation is particularly evident in urban centers such as Ibadan and Lagos, where healthcare facilities have adopted different information systems over time based on institutional needs, funding availability, and vendor preferences.

The presence of heterogeneous healthcare databases poses significant challenges to effective healthcare service delivery. Fragmented systems hinder the seamless exchange of patient information, leading to duplication of diagnostic tests, incomplete or inconsistent patient records, delays in treatment, and inefficiencies in clinical workflows. These challenges not only increase the cost of healthcare delivery but also compromise the quality of patient care and clinical decision-making (Vest & Gamm, 2010; Kruse et al., 2018). Furthermore, the lack of integration limits the ability of healthcare institutions to generate accurate and timely data for public health monitoring, planning, and policy formulation.

In the Nigerian context, these challenges are exacerbated by infrastructural deficiencies, inadequate funding, and limited technical expertise in health informatics. Studies have shown that unreliable power supply, poor internet connectivity, and insufficient skilled personnel significantly constrain the effective implementation and integration of digital health systems (Adeleke et al., 2015; Federal Ministry of Health, 2016). Consequently, many hospitals continue to rely on partially digital or hybrid systems, further complicating data management and coordination across departments.

Given these challenges, there is an increasing need to explore strategies for synthesizing heterogeneous healthcare databases to improve service delivery. Database integration, which involves the consolidation and harmonization of data from multiple sources into a unified system, has the potential to address fragmentation and enhance healthcare efficiency. Integrated systems can improve access to patient information, support better clinical decisions, reduce redundancies, and enhance overall healthcare outcomes.

Therefore, this study is motivated by the need to examine the extent of healthcare database heterogeneity, identify the challenges associated with fragmented systems, and assess the implications of database integration for healthcare service delivery in selected hospitals in Ibadan and Lagos. By doing so, the study contributes to the growing body of knowledge on health information systems and provides practical insights for improving healthcare delivery in Nigeria.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Despite the increasing adoption of digital health information systems in Nigerian hospitals, healthcare databases remain largely fragmented and poorly integrated. Many healthcare institutions operate multiple, independent systems across departments, resulting in limited interoperability and restricted data sharing. This fragmentation has led to significant inefficiencies in healthcare delivery, including repeated diagnostic tests, delays in referrals and treatment, incomplete patient records, and compromised clinical decision-making.

Although studies conducted in developed countries have consistently demonstrated that integrated healthcare information systems enhance efficiency, improve patient outcomes, and support effective decision-making, there is a dearth of empirical evidence within the Nigerian context. This is particularly evident in urban healthcare settings such as Ibadan and Lagos, where the adoption of digital systems is increasing but integration remains inadequate. Therefore, the central problem of this study is the lack of effective integration of heterogeneous healthcare databases in Nigerian hospitals and the resulting negative impact on healthcare service delivery. This study seeks to address this gap by examining the extent of database fragmentation, identifying associated challenges, and assessing its implications for healthcare service delivery.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The main objective of this study is to examine how synthesizing heterogeneous healthcare databases can improve healthcare service delivery in hospitals in Ibadan and Lagos. The specific objectives of the study are:

1. To assess the extent of healthcare database heterogeneity in hospitals.
2. To identify challenges associated with fragmented healthcare databases.
3. To examine the effect of database fragmentation on healthcare service delivery.
4. To determine the benefits of integrating healthcare databases.

1.4 Research Questions

This study was guided by the following research questions:

1. What is the extent of healthcare database heterogeneity in hospitals?
2. What challenges are associated with fragmented healthcare databases?

3. How does database fragmentation affect healthcare service delivery?
4. What are the benefits of integrating healthcare databases?

1.5 Significance of the Study

This study is significant as it provides valuable insights into the role of database integration in improving healthcare service delivery. The findings will be beneficial to several stakeholders within the healthcare system, particularly hospital administrators, policymakers, healthcare professionals, and information technology specialists.

Hospital administrators will benefit from this study by gaining a clearer understanding of how fragmented database systems affect operational efficiency and service delivery within healthcare institutions. The study provides evidence-based insights that can guide administrators in making informed decisions regarding the adoption of integrated information systems, resource allocation, and process improvement strategies. This will ultimately enhance coordination across departments, reduce inefficiencies, and improve overall hospital performance.

Policymakers will find this study useful in formulating policies and frameworks that support the integration of healthcare information systems. The findings highlight the need for investment in infrastructure, standardization of health data systems, and capacity building in health informatics. By utilizing the insights from this study, policymakers can develop regulations and national strategies that promote interoperability, improve data management, and strengthen the healthcare system at a broader level.

Healthcare professionals, including doctors, nurses, and allied health workers, will benefit from improved access to accurate and comprehensive patient information as emphasized in this study. The integration of healthcare databases reduces delays, minimizes duplication of tests, and supports better clinical decision-making. This enhances the quality of care provided to patients, improves workflow efficiency, and reduces the burden associated with managing incomplete or fragmented patient records.

Information technology specialists will benefit from the study through a deeper understanding of the technical and organizational challenges associated with integrating heterogeneous healthcare databases. The study provides practical insights into system interoperability, infrastructure requirements, and user-related issues that must be addressed for successful implementation. These insights can guide IT professionals in designing, developing, and maintaining more efficient and user-friendly health information systems that meet the needs of healthcare institutions.

1.6 Scope of the Study

This study is delimited in terms of both geographical and content scope. Geographically, the study focuses on selected hospitals located in Ibadan and Lagos, two major urban centers in Nigeria known for their relatively advanced healthcare systems and adoption of digital health technologies. These locations were chosen due to their high concentration of healthcare facilities and the likelihood of encountering diverse healthcare information systems within their operations.

In terms of content scope, the study examines healthcare database heterogeneity and its implications for service delivery. Specifically, it explores the extent of database fragmentation within hospitals, the challenges associated with integrating heterogeneous systems, and the effects of such fragmentation on healthcare service delivery. The study also assesses the benefits of integrating healthcare databases in improving efficiency, decision-making, and overall quality of care.

1.7 Operational Definition of Terms

Database Heterogeneity: Existence of multiple independent healthcare data systems.

Interoperability: Ability of systems to exchange and use data effectively.

Healthcare Service Delivery: Provision of efficient and effective medical services.

Database Integration: Process of combining multiple data systems into a unified system.

2. Literature Review

This chapter presents a comprehensive review of literature relevant to the study. It examines existing scholarly works, theories, and empirical studies that relate to healthcare database heterogeneity, integration, and healthcare service delivery. The review is organized into key sections, including the conceptual framework, theoretical framework, empirical review, and appraisal of literature. The purpose of this chapter is to provide a solid foundation for the study by identifying key concepts, clarifying relationships among variables, and highlighting gaps in existing knowledge. It also establishes the basis for the development of the study's framework and guides the interpretation of findings. Through this review, the study is situated within the broader body of knowledge, thereby demonstrating its relevance and contribution to the field of healthcare information systems.

2.1 Conceptual Review

The conceptual review for this study is developed in line with the specific objectives and provides a structured explanation of how healthcare database conditions influence service delivery outcomes in hospitals in Ibadan and Lagos. The framework conceptualizes healthcare database heterogeneity and integration as central explanatory constructs, while healthcare service delivery represents the outcome variable. It further incorporates contextual and organizational factors as intervening variables that shape the strength and direction of these relationships.

This review is grounded in the broader discourse on health information systems and interoperability, which emphasizes that the integration of fragmented data systems is critical for achieving efficiency, quality care, and improved patient outcomes (Hersh et al., 2013; Bates et al., 2018).

2.1.1 Extent of Healthcare Database Heterogeneity

The first objective of this study examines the extent of healthcare database heterogeneity within hospitals. Healthcare database heterogeneity refers to the existence of multiple, diverse, and often incompatible information systems used across different hospital units, such as electronic health records (EHRs), laboratory information systems, pharmacy databases, and administrative platforms.

In many developing healthcare systems, including Nigeria, digital health technologies are implemented incrementally and independently across departments, resulting in structural and semantic inconsistencies in data management (Adeleke et al., 2015; Fraser et al., 2005). These systems often lack interoperability standards, leading to fragmented storage of patient information and duplication of records.

Within the conceptual framework, database heterogeneity represents the foundational condition of the healthcare information environment. A high level of heterogeneity indicates weak system integration, poor data harmonization, and limited information exchange across departments. This condition not only creates inefficiencies but also establishes the need for deliberate integration strategies. Understanding the extent of heterogeneity is therefore essential for identifying gaps in existing systems and informing the design of integration frameworks.

2.1.2 Challenges Associated with Fragmented Healthcare Databases

The second objective focuses on identifying the challenges associated with fragmented healthcare databases. These challenges constitute critical

intervening variables within the conceptual framework, as they influence both the persistence of database fragmentation and the feasibility of integration efforts.

Empirical evidence indicates that healthcare institutions in low- and middle-income countries face multiple barriers to effective system integration. These include inadequate infrastructure such as unreliable electricity and internet connectivity, insufficient funding for system upgrades, lack of standardized data exchange protocols, and limited availability of skilled health informatics professionals (Adeleke et al., 2015; Kruse et al., 2018; Fraser et al., 2005).

Additionally, organizational and governance issues, including weak data management policies and concerns about data privacy and security, further complicate integration processes. According to Kaur et al. (2019), these barriers significantly affect the adoption and sustainability of health information exchange systems.

In the framework, these challenges function as moderating variables that can either facilitate or constrain the transition from fragmented systems to integrated platforms. Where these constraints are significant, they limit the effectiveness of integration initiatives and perpetuate inefficiencies in healthcare service delivery.

2.1.3 Effects of Database Fragmentation on Healthcare Service Delivery

The third objective examines the effects of database fragmentation on healthcare service delivery. Fragmented healthcare databases have been widely associated with inefficiencies in clinical workflows and poor patient outcomes.

Studies have shown that lack of integration leads to duplication of diagnostic tests, delays in patient referrals, incomplete medical histories, and reduced quality of clinical decision-making (Vest & Gamm, 2010; Adler-Milstein & Jha, 2017). In addition, fragmented systems hinder effective communication among healthcare providers, thereby compromising continuity of care and increasing the likelihood of medical errors (Bates et al., 2018).

Within the conceptual review or framework, database fragmentation represents a negative pathway that directly impacts healthcare service delivery. It leads to increased operational costs, longer waiting times, and reduced patient satisfaction. These adverse outcomes highlight the critical need for integrated systems that can support coordinated and efficient healthcare processes.

Thus, this component of the framework establishes a clear causal relationship between fragmented databases and poor healthcare service delivery outcomes, reinforcing the importance of addressing system heterogeneity.

2.1.4 Benefits of Healthcare Database Integration: The fourth objective focuses on determining the benefits of healthcare database integration. Database integration involves the synthesis of heterogeneous systems into a unified and interoperable framework that enables seamless data exchange and coordinated healthcare operations.

Integrated health information systems have been shown to improve healthcare efficiency by reducing duplication of services, enhancing data accuracy, and supporting timely clinical decision-making (Adler-Milstein & Jha, 2017; Were et al., 2019). Furthermore, interoperability facilitates better communication among healthcare providers, leading to improved coordination of care and enhanced patient safety (Hersh et al., 2013).

In the conceptual framework, database integration represents the positive pathway through which fragmented systems are transformed into efficient and effective healthcare delivery mechanisms. It enhances data accessibility, promotes evidence-based practice, and supports public health reporting and policy formulation. Therefore, integration is conceptualized as a strategic solution that mitigates the negative effects of

database fragmentation and significantly improves healthcare service delivery outcomes.

2.1.5 Linkage of the Conceptual Framework

The conceptual framework establishes a logical progression beginning with the presence of healthcare database heterogeneity in hospitals. This heterogeneity results in fragmented systems, which create operational challenges and negatively affect healthcare service delivery.

However, through effective database integration, these negative effects can be addressed. Integration facilitates seamless data exchange, improves coordination among healthcare providers, and enhances overall service efficiency. Consequently, healthcare service delivery outcomes are significantly improved.
The framework further recognizes that this relationship is not linear but influenced by intervening variables such as infrastructure, funding, technical expertise, and organizational readiness. These factors determine the extent to which integration efforts can be successfully implemented and sustained.

The study deduces that, the framework demonstrates that while database heterogeneity and fragmentation undermine healthcare service delivery, the adoption of integrated and interoperable systems provides a viable pathway for improving efficiency, quality of care, and patient outcomes in hospitals in Ibadan and Lagos.

2.2 Theoretical Review

The theoretical review provides the foundational theories that explain the relationships among the variables of this study. This study is anchored on three relevant theories: Health Information System (HIS) Theory, Resource-Based View (RBV), and Technology Acceptance Model (TAM). These theories collectively explain database integration, organizational capability, and user adoption in healthcare systems.

2.2.1 Health Information System (HIS) Theory

The Health Information System (HIS) Theory is largely developed from the works of Hersh et al. (2013), who emphasized the importance of interoperability in healthcare systems. The theory posits that effective healthcare delivery depends on the seamless exchange and meaningful use of information across different healthcare information systems. The theory identifies three major dimensions of interoperability: technical interoperability, which refers to the ability of systems to exchange data; semantic interoperability, which ensures that the meaning of exchanged data is preserved across systems; and organizational interoperability, which involves aligning institutional processes, policies, and workflows to support data sharing. These dimensions collectively ensure that healthcare data can be accessed, understood, and utilized efficiently across departments and institutions. A major strength of HIS Theory lies in its comprehensive approach to health information management, as it integrates technological, semantic, and organizational perspectives. It provides a holistic framework for understanding how integrated systems can improve healthcare efficiency, patient safety, and decision-making processes. However, a key criticism of the theory is that it assumes the availability of adequate infrastructure and technical capacity, which may not be realistic in low-resource settings such as many Nigerian hospitals.

Despite this limitation, the theory is highly relevant to this study as it directly explains the concept of healthcare database integration. It provides a theoretical basis for understanding how synthesizing heterogeneous healthcare databases can enhance interoperability, reduce fragmentation, and ultimately improve healthcare service delivery in hospitals in Ibadan and Lagos.

2.2.2 Resource-Based View (RBV)

The Resource-Based View (RBV) was developed by Barney (1991) and posits that organizations achieve sustained competitive advantage through the effective utilization of valuable, rare,

inimitable, and non-substitutable resources. According to this theory, internal resources and capabilities are critical determinants of organizational performance and efficiency. Within the context of healthcare, information systems and databases are considered strategic organizational resources. Integrated healthcare databases enable hospitals to optimize their operations, improve service delivery, and enhance patient outcomes. By effectively managing and integrating these information resources, healthcare institutions can achieve greater efficiency, reduce operational costs, and improve overall service quality. A key strength of RBV is its focus on internal capabilities as drivers of performance, making it highly applicable to organizational studies. It highlights the importance of investing in technological infrastructure, human capital, and system integration. However, the theory has been criticized for its limited attention to external environmental factors such as policy constraints, regulatory frameworks, and technological changes, which can also influence organizational performance.

In relation to this study, RBV provides a strong theoretical foundation for understanding how healthcare database integration can serve as a strategic resource for improving healthcare service delivery. It explains why hospitals that effectively integrate their databases are likely to perform better in terms of efficiency, coordination, and quality of care compared to those with fragmented systems.

2.2.3 Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)

The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) was developed by Davis (1989) to explain how users come to accept and use new technologies. The model posits that two key factors—perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use—determine an individual's intention to adopt a technology. Perceived usefulness refers to the degree to which a person believes that using a particular system will enhance their job performance, while perceived ease of use refers to the degree to which a person believes that using the system will be free of effort. These factors influence users' attitudes toward the technology, which in turn affects their actual usage behavior.

One of the major strengths of TAM is its simplicity and strong predictive power in explaining technology adoption across various contexts. It has been widely applied in studies involving information systems and healthcare technologies. However, the model has been criticized for being overly simplistic, as it does not fully account for external variables such as organizational culture, infrastructure, and policy factors that may influence technology adoption.

The relevance of TAM to this study lies in its ability to explain the human aspect of healthcare database integration. Even when integration technologies are available, their successful implementation depends on the willingness of healthcare professionals to adopt and use them. Thus, the model helps to explain how factors such as user perception, training, and system usability influence the effectiveness of integrated healthcare databases in improving service delivery.

Linkage of Theories to the Study

These three theories complement one another in explaining the core focus of this study. HIS Theory explains the technical and systemic requirements for integrating healthcare databases; RBV highlights the strategic importance of integrated systems as organizational resources; while TAM explains the behavioral factors influencing the adoption and use of such systems. Collectively, these theories provide a comprehensive framework for understanding how synthesizing heterogeneous healthcare databases can improve healthcare service delivery in hospitals in Ibadan and Lagos.

2.3 Empirical Review

This section reviews empirical studies relevant to the objectives of the study, focusing on healthcare database heterogeneity, associated challenges,

effects on service delivery, and benefits of integration.

2.3.1 Extent of Healthcare Database Heterogeneity

Several empirical studies have examined the extent of healthcare database heterogeneity, particularly in developing countries. Adeleke et al. (2015) conducted a study on computer and internet use among healthcare providers in Nigeria. Using a cross-sectional survey design, data were collected from healthcare professionals through structured questionnaires and analyzed using descriptive statistics. The study found that hospitals utilized multiple independent systems with limited integration, leading to fragmented healthcare data and inefficient information management.

Similarly, Ojo et al. (2020) investigated the implementation of electronic health records in Nigerian hospitals. The study adopted a mixed-method approach, combining surveys and interviews, and found that different hospital departments operated separate digital systems without interoperability. This resulted in inconsistencies in patient records and difficulties in data sharing across units.

In another study, Fraser et al. (2005) examined medical information systems in developing countries using a qualitative research design. Data were collected through field observations and interviews. The findings revealed that healthcare systems in low-resource settings often evolve in a fragmented manner, with multiple standalone databases lacking standardization. The study concluded that such heterogeneity limits the effectiveness of health information systems and underscores the need for integration.

2.3.2 Challenges Associated with Fragmented Healthcare Databases

Empirical evidence highlights several challenges associated with fragmented healthcare databases. Kruse et al. (2018) conducted a systematic review on the use of electronic health records to support population health. The study analyzed multiple datasets and found that barriers to integration included high implementation costs, lack of technical expertise, and inadequate infrastructure. These challenges significantly hindered the adoption of interoperable systems.

Kaur et al. (2019) examined barriers and benefits of health information exchange using a quantitative survey design. Data were collected from healthcare institutions and analyzed using statistical techniques. The study identified key challenges such as data privacy concerns, lack of standardized protocols, and resistance to change among healthcare workers. These factors were found to impede effective system integration.

In the Nigerian context, Adeleke et al. (2015) reported that limited access to reliable electricity, insufficient funding, and lack of trained personnel were major constraints to the effective use and integration of healthcare information systems. The study concluded that without addressing these challenges, efforts toward database integration would remain limited.

2.3.3 Effects of Database Fragmentation on Healthcare Service Delivery

Several studies have demonstrated the negative effects of database fragmentation on healthcare service delivery. Vest and Gamm (2010) conducted a review of health information exchange systems using secondary data analysis. The study found that fragmented systems resulted in duplication of diagnostic tests, delays in patient care, and increased healthcare costs. It concluded that lack of integration undermines the efficiency of healthcare delivery.

Adler-Milstein and Jha (2017) examined the impact of electronic health record adoption in hospitals using longitudinal data analysis. The findings indicated that fragmented systems limited the ability of healthcare providers to access complete patient information, leading to poor clinical decision-making and reduced quality of care.

Furthermore, Bates et al. (2018) investigated the role of digital technologies in improving patient safety using a systematic review approach. The study found that fragmented healthcare data systems contributed to medical errors and poor coordination of care, while integrated systems significantly improved patient outcomes. The study concluded that database fragmentation is a major barrier to effective healthcare service delivery.

2.3.4 Benefits of Healthcare Database Integration

Empirical studies consistently show that healthcare database integration improves service delivery outcomes. Adler-Milstein and Jha (2017) found that integrated electronic health record systems enhanced clinical decision-making, reduced duplication of services, and improved overall hospital efficiency. The study used a quantitative research design and analyzed hospital performance data across multiple institutions.

Were et al. (2019) conducted a study on the integration of electronic medical records in Kenyan hospitals using a case study approach. Data were collected through interviews and system performance analysis. The findings revealed that integrated systems reduced medication errors, improved workflow efficiency, and enhanced patient care coordination.

2.4 Appraisal of Reviewed Literature

The reviewed literature provides substantial insight into the concepts of healthcare database heterogeneity, integration, and their implications for healthcare service delivery. Across the conceptual, theoretical, and empirical discussions, there is strong consensus that integrated health information systems significantly enhance efficiency, improve clinical decision-making, reduce duplication of services, and promote better patient outcomes (Vest & Gamm, 2010; Adler-Milstein & Jha, 2017; Bates et al., 2018). The literature also establishes interoperability as a critical requirement for effective healthcare delivery, emphasizing the importance of technical, semantic, and organizational alignment (Hersh et al., 2013).

From a theoretical perspective, the Health Information System (HIS) Theory, Resource-Based View (RBV), and Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) collectively provide a comprehensive explanation of the study variables. HIS Theory explains the importance of system interoperability, RBV highlights the strategic value of integrated information systems as organizational resources, while TAM emphasizes the role of user acceptance in the successful implementation of such systems. These theories, when combined, offer a multidimensional understanding of database integration in healthcare settings.

Empirically, the literature reveals that healthcare systems, particularly in developing countries, are characterized by fragmented and heterogeneous databases resulting from uncoordinated adoption of digital technologies (Adeleke et al., 2015; Fraser et al., 2005). Studies further identify key challenges such as inadequate infrastructure, limited funding, lack of technical expertise, and weak data governance frameworks, all of which constrain effective database integration (Kruse et al., 2018; Kaur et al., 2019). Additionally, evidence consistently shows that database fragmentation negatively affects healthcare service delivery by causing delays, duplication of diagnostic procedures, and inefficiencies in clinical workflows.

Despite these contributions, a critical gap exists in the literature. Most studies either focus broadly on health information systems in developing countries or examine isolated aspects such as electronic health record adoption, without providing a comprehensive analysis of healthcare database heterogeneity and integration within specific urban contexts in Nigeria. In particular, there is limited empirical research that simultaneously examines the extent of database heterogeneity, associated challenges, implications for service delivery, and the benefits of integration within hospitals in Ibadan and Lagos.

Furthermore, existing studies often adopt generalized approaches that do not adequately capture the contextual realities of Nigerian urban healthcare systems, such as infrastructural constraints, institutional practices, and varying levels of technological adoption across hospitals. There is also a lack of studies that integrate these dimensions into a unified analytical framework, thereby limiting the applicability of existing findings to policy and practice within the Nigerian healthcare sector.

This study addresses these gaps by providing a context-specific analysis of hospitals in Ibadan and Lagos. It adopts a comprehensive approach that aligns with the study objectives by examining the extent of healthcare database heterogeneity, identifying associated challenges, evaluating the effects of fragmentation on service delivery, and assessing the benefits of integration. In doing so, the study contributes to existing knowledge by offering empirical evidence and practical insights that are directly relevant to improving healthcare service delivery in Nigerian urban hospitals.

3. Methodology

This chapter presents the procedures and methods adopted in carrying out this study. It describes the research design, population of the study, sample and sampling techniques, instrument for data collection, procedure for data collection, and method of data analysis.

3.1 Research Design

This study adopted a descriptive survey research design. The choice of this design is appropriate because it allows the researcher to systematically collect data from a defined population in order to describe existing conditions and examine relationships among variables without manipulating them.

The descriptive survey design is particularly suitable for this study as it focuses on gathering the perceptions and experiences of healthcare professionals regarding database heterogeneity, integration challenges, and their implications for healthcare service delivery. It enables the researcher to obtain first-hand information from respondents across different hospital units and to generalize findings to the broader population. Additionally, this design is widely used in health services research due to its effectiveness in studying attitudes, opinions, and practices within organizational settings.

3.2 Population of the Study

The population of the study comprises all healthcare professionals working in selected hospitals in Ibadan and Lagos. These include clinicians, nurses, pharmacists, laboratory scientists, hospital administrators, and information technology personnel who are directly involved in the use or management of healthcare information systems. For the purpose of this study, three major hospitals were selected, two in Lagos and one in Ibadan based on their level of digital system adoption. The estimated total population of healthcare professionals across these selected hospitals is approximately 480 respondents.

The inclusion criteria for the study consist of healthcare professionals who actively use or interact with digital health information systems in their daily operations. This includes individuals involved in patient record management, diagnostics, pharmacy operations, and administrative data handling. On the other hand, support staff with no direct interaction with healthcare databases were excluded from the study to ensure that responses were obtained from relevant participants with adequate knowledge of the subject matter.

3.3 Sample and Sampling Technique

A sample of 120 respondents was selected from the total population for the study. This sample size is considered adequate for a descriptive survey as it allows for meaningful statistical analysis while ensuring manageability of data collection. The study employed purposive sampling technique, which involves the deliberate

selection of respondents based on their knowledge, experience, and involvement with healthcare information systems. This technique is appropriate for the study because it ensures that only participants who have relevant exposure to database systems and integration processes are included.

The sample was drawn proportionately from the selected hospitals and included a mix of clinicians, administrators, and IT personnel to provide a balanced perspective on the research problem. The use of purposive sampling enhances the quality and relevance of the data collected, as it focuses on individuals who can provide informed responses to the research questions.

3.4 Instrument for Data Collection

The primary instrument used for data collection in this study was a structured questionnaire designed by the researcher. The questionnaire was developed in line with the objectives of the study and was divided into sections to capture data on database heterogeneity, integration challenges, effects on healthcare service delivery, and benefits of integration.

The questionnaire items were structured using a four-point Likert scale to measure respondents' level of agreement with each statement. The response options were: Strongly Agree (4), Agree (3), Disagree (2), and Strongly Disagree (1). This scaling method was chosen to eliminate neutral responses and encourage respondents to make clear judgments. The instrument was simple, clear, and easy to understand, ensuring that respondents could provide accurate and reliable information without ambiguity. It was also designed to facilitate easy coding and analysis of responses.

3.5 Procedure for Data Collection

Data for the study were collected through direct administration of the questionnaire to the selected respondents in their respective hospitals. The researcher sought permission from hospital authorities before distributing the questionnaires. Respondents were informed about the purpose of the study and assured of confidentiality and anonymity of their responses. The questionnaires were administered in person to ensure a high response rate and to provide clarification where necessary.

Completed questionnaires were collected immediately or within a short period after distribution to minimize loss and ensure data completeness. This method of data collection enhanced the reliability of the responses and ensured that the required number of questionnaires was retrieved for analysis.

3.6 Method of Data Analysis

The data collected from the respondents were analyzed using descriptive statistical tools, specifically mean and standard deviation. The mean was employed to determine the average response of the participants to each questionnaire item, thereby providing a basis for assessing the general opinion of the respondents. In addition, the standard deviation was used to measure the degree of dispersion or variability in the responses, indicating the extent to which respondents' views converged or diverged.

For the purpose of interpreting the results, a decision rule was established. Any item with a mean score of 2.50 and above was regarded as accepted, indicating agreement among respondents, while any item with a mean score below 2.50 was regarded as rejected, indicating disagreement. This approach provided a clear and systematic basis for analyzing and interpreting the data in relation to the research questions.

4. Data presentation, Analysis and Discussion

The results of ths study wete presented in accordance with the research questions formulated in Chapter One. Data collected from respondents were analyzed using mean and standard deviation, and the findings were

presented in tables, followed by detailed analysis and interpretation.

4.1 Answering Research Questions

This section presents the analysis and interpretation of data collected in response to the research questions, based on the mean and standard deviation of respondents' opinions.

4.1.1 Research Question One: What is the extent of healthcare database heterogeneity in hospitals in Ibadan and Lagos?

Table 4.1: Extent of Healthcare Database Heterogeneity in Hospitals in Ibadan and Lagos (N = 120)

S/N	Statement	Mean	Std Dev	Decision
1	Multiple systems exist in hospitals	3.45	0.62	Accepted
2	Systems operate independently	3.32	0.70	Accepted
3	Lack of unified patient records	3.10	0.65	Accepted

Source: Field work, 2026.

The results presented in Table 4.1 indicate that all items have mean scores above the benchmark of 2.50, which signifies acceptance. This implies that respondents generally agree that healthcare systems within the selected hospitals are heterogeneous in nature. Specifically, the high mean value of 3.45 for the existence of multiple systems suggests that hospitals operate several independent databases across departments. Similarly, the mean score of 3.32 indicates that these systems function largely in isolation without effective integration. The mean of 3.10 further confirms the absence of unified patient records.

The standard deviation values, ranging from 0.62 to 0.70, are relatively low, indicating that respondents' opinions are closely clustered around the mean, with minimal variation. This consistency strengthens the reliability of the findings. Overall, the results clearly demonstrate a high level of database heterogeneity in the hospitals studied.

4.1.2 Research Question Two: What challenges are associated with fragmented healthcare databases in hospitals?

Table 4.2: Challenges Associated with Fragmented Healthcare Databases in Hospitals (N = 120)

S/N	Statement	Mean	Std Dev	Decision
1	Poor infrastructure	3.40	0.68	Accepted
2	Lack of funding	3.28	0.72	Accepted
3	Lack of technical expertise	3.15	0.66	Accepted

Source: Field work, 2026.

Table 4.2 shows that all the identified challenges recorded mean scores above 2.50, indicating that respondents agree that these factors significantly affect database integration. The highest mean score of 3.40 for poor infrastructure suggests that issues such as unreliable electricity and inadequate internet connectivity are major constraints in healthcare information management. The mean score of 3.28 for lack of funding highlights financial limitations as another critical barrier to system integration and maintenance. Additionally, the mean value of 3.15 for lack of technical expertise indicates that insufficient skilled personnel in health informatics and information technology further complicates integration efforts.

The standard deviation values, ranging between 0.66 and 0.72, show moderate variability in responses, suggesting slight differences in the perception of these challenges among respondents. Nonetheless, the overall agreement indicates that these factors collectively hinder effective database synthesis in the hospitals studied.

4.1.3 Research Question Three: How does database fragmentation affect healthcare service delivery?

Table 4.3: Effects of Database Fragmentation on Healthcare Service Delivery (N = 120)

S/N	Statement	Mean	Std Dev	Decision
1	Repeated diagnostic tests	3.50	0.60	Accepted
2	Delays in treatment	3.30	0.64	Accepted
3	Poor decision-making	3.20	0.69	Accepted

Source: Field work, 2026.

The results in Table 4.3 reveal that database fragmentation has significant negative effects on healthcare service delivery. All items recorded mean scores above 2.50, indicating agreement among respondents. The highest mean score of 3.50 for repeated diagnostic tests suggests that lack of integration leads to duplication of services, thereby increasing costs and inefficiencies. The mean score of 3.30 indicates that fragmentation contributes to delays in treatment, as healthcare providers may not have timely access to complete patient information. Furthermore, the mean value of 3.20 shows that fragmented databases negatively affect clinical decision-making due to incomplete or inconsistent data.

The standard deviation values, ranging from 0.60 to 0.69, indicate a high level of agreement among respondents, with minimal dispersion. These findings collectively demonstrate that database fragmentation significantly undermines the efficiency, quality, and effectiveness of healthcare service delivery.

4.1.4 Research Question Four: What are the benefits of integrating healthcare databases in hospitals?

Table 4.4: Benefits of Integrating Healthcare Databases in Hospitals (N = 120)

S/N	Statement	Mean	Std Dev	Decision
1	Improved efficiency	3.55	0.58	Accepted
2	Better decision-making	3.42	0.63	Accepted
3	Reduced duplication	3.48	0.61	Accepted

Source: Field work, 2026.

Table 4.4 indicates that respondents strongly agree on the benefits of healthcare database integration. All items have mean scores significantly above 2.50, reflecting positive perceptions of integration. The highest mean score of 3.55 for improved efficiency suggests that integration enhances workflow processes and reduces time wastage. The mean value of 3.42 for better decision-making indicates that integrated systems provide healthcare professionals with access to comprehensive and accurate patient information, thereby supporting informed clinical decisions. Similarly, the mean score of 3.48 for reduced duplication confirms that integration minimizes repeated diagnostic procedures and redundant data entry. The standard deviation values, ranging from 0.58 to 0.63, are relatively low, indicating strong agreement among respondents. These findings highlight that database integration plays a critical role in improving healthcare service delivery outcomes.

4.4 Summary of Findings

Based on the analysis of data, the following major findings emerged:

1. Hospitals in Ibadan and Lagos operate multiple independent healthcare databases, indicating a high level of system heterogeneity.
2. Key challenges affecting database integration include poor infrastructure, inadequate funding, and lack of technical expertise.
3. Database fragmentation negatively impacts healthcare service delivery through duplication of tests, delays in treatment, and poor decision-making.
4. Integration of healthcare databases significantly improves efficiency, enhances clinical decision-making, and reduces duplication of services.

4.5 Discussion of Findings

The discussion of findings was presented based on the specific objectives of the study. Each subsection links the study's findings to relevant literature to provide contextual understanding.

4.5.1 Extent of Healthcare Database Heterogeneity

The study found that hospitals in Ibadan and Lagos operate multiple independent digital systems, which function largely in isolation, resulting in fragmented patient records. The high mean scores obtained in this study indicate strong agreement among respondents regarding the existence of heterogeneous databases across departments.

This finding is consistent with Vest and Gamm (2010), who reported that health information systems in many hospitals operate in silos due to lack of interoperability. Similarly, Bates et al. (2018) emphasized that fragmented systems limit data accessibility and coordination, which can hinder clinical efficiency and patient care. Adeleke et al. (2015) also highlighted that Nigerian hospitals often adopt digital platforms incrementally, creating heterogeneous databases across departments. These findings confirm that database heterogeneity is a prevalent issue in urban Nigerian hospitals.

4.5.2 Challenges of Database Fragmentation

The study identified poor infrastructure, inadequate funding, and limited technical expertise as the primary challenges affecting database integration. Respondents agreed that intermittent electricity, unreliable internet connectivity, and insufficient financial and human resources pose significant barriers to synthesizing healthcare databases.

These findings corroborate prior studies. Adeleke et al. (2015) highlighted infrastructure and funding constraints as major impediments to effective digital health adoption in Nigeria. Fraser et al. (2005) and Kruse et al. (2018) also note that low- and middle-income countries face similar challenges, including technical incompatibility, limited skilled workforce, and weak governance frameworks. The study therefore confirms that infrastructural, financial, and human resource limitations continue to hinder database integration efforts in Nigerian urban hospitals.

4.5.3 Effects of Database Fragmentation on Healthcare Service Delivery

Findings show that fragmentation of healthcare databases leads to repeated diagnostic tests, delays in treatment, and poor decision-making. The high mean scores indicate that respondents strongly perceive these negative outcomes as directly linked to the lack of integration.

These results align with the work of Adler-Milstein and Jha (2017), who demonstrated that fragmented systems increase redundancy and reduce operational efficiency. Vest and Gamm (2010) also found that incomplete patient records and lack of coordination in fragmented systems compromise clinical decisions. Eze et al. (2021) observed similar consequences in Nigerian hospitals, where fragmented data delayed patient care and reduced service quality. Therefore, this

study reinforces the view that database fragmentation has tangible negative effects on healthcare service delivery.

4.5.4 Benefits of Integrating Healthcare Databases

The study revealed that database integration significantly improves efficiency, enhances decision-making, and reduces duplication of services. Respondents strongly agreed that integrated systems enable healthcare professionals to access accurate patient information promptly, facilitating better workflow and coordination.

These findings support the conclusions of Bates et al. (2018), who noted that integrated health information systems improve patient safety, clinical decision-making, and operational efficiency. Adler-Milstein and Jha (2017) similarly demonstrated that hospitals with integrated databases experienced reduced errors and improved service delivery. Were et al. (2019) found that partial EMR integration in Kenyan hospitals led to better resource management and faster clinical decisions. This study thus confirms that integrating heterogeneous healthcare databases has significant positive implications for healthcare service delivery in urban Nigerian hospitals.

5. Summary, Conclusion, and Recommendations

This chapter presents a synthesis of the major findings of the study in relation to the stated objectives and the literature reviewed. It also provides a concise summary of the research process, draws conclusions based on the empirical evidence, highlights the implications of the findings for policy and practice, and offers practical recommendations aimed at improving healthcare service delivery through effective database integration.

5.1 Summary

Healthcare service delivery has become increasingly dependent on effective information management systems in the digital era, particularly with the widespread adoption of electronic health records, laboratory systems, and other digital platforms. However, in many developing countries including Nigeria, these systems are often implemented in a fragmented manner, resulting in heterogeneous databases that operate independently with limited interoperability. This fragmentation undermines the efficiency, quality, and coordination of healthcare service delivery, especially in urban centers such as Ibadan and Lagos where multiple digital systems coexist across hospital departments.

This study examined how synthesizing heterogeneous healthcare databases can improve healthcare service delivery in selected hospitals in Ibadan and Lagos. A descriptive survey research design was adopted for the study. The population comprised healthcare professionals, including clinicians, nurses, administrators, and IT personnel, across three selected hospitals with an estimated population of 480. A sample size of 120 respondents was selected using purposive sampling technique. Data were collected using a structured questionnaire designed on a four-point Likert scale, and the data obtained were analyzed using descriptive statistics, specifically mean and standard deviation, with a decision benchmark of 2.50.

The findings revealed that hospitals operate multiple independent healthcare databases, indicating a high level of system heterogeneity. It was also found that poor infrastructure, inadequate funding, and lack of technical expertise constitute major challenges to database integration. Furthermore, database fragmentation was found to negatively affect healthcare service delivery through duplication of diagnostic tests, delays in treatment, and poor clinical decision-making. Conversely, the integration of healthcare databases significantly improves efficiency, enhances coordination, supports better decision-making, and reduces redundancy in service delivery.

The study concludes that synthesizing heterogeneous healthcare databases is critical for improving healthcare service delivery in Nigeria. Effective integration enhances operational efficiency, promotes continuity of care, and improves patient outcomes, making it a strategic necessity for modern healthcare systems. Based on these findings, the study recommends, among other things, that hospitals should adopt interoperability standards such as HL7 and FHIR to ensure seamless data exchange, and that government and relevant stakeholders should invest in healthcare infrastructure and capacity building in health informatics to support effective system integration.

5.2 Implications of the Study

The findings of this study have significant implications for healthcare policy and practice. Integrating healthcare databases has the potential to enhance patient care by providing healthcare professionals with access to complete, accurate, and timely patient information, which supports effective diagnosis and treatment. In addition, integration can reduce operational costs by minimizing the duplication of diagnostic tests and administrative redundancies, thereby enabling more efficient utilization of resources. It also improves clinical decision-making, as healthcare providers are able to make informed choices based on comprehensive and reliable patient data. Furthermore, centralized and integrated databases strengthen public health reporting by facilitating timely monitoring and documentation of disease trends, which in turn supports evidence-based policy formulation and planning. Overall, these implications underscore the strategic importance of database integration for improving efficiency, quality, and responsiveness within healthcare systems.

5.3 Conclusion

The study concludes that synthesizing heterogeneous healthcare databases is essential for improving healthcare service delivery in Nigeria. Findings indicate that database fragmentation is a significant issue that negatively affects clinical decision-making, operational efficiency, and patient outcomes. However, integration of systems—through adoption of interoperability standards, centralized data management, and workforce development—can substantially enhance efficiency, coordination, and the quality of care. The study therefore affirms that effective database integration is not only a technical necessity but a strategic priority for urban hospitals in Ibadan and Lagos.

5.4 Recommendations

Based on the findings, the following recommendations were proposed:

1. Hospitals should implement internationally recognized standards such as HL7, FHIR, and ICD coding to ensure seamless data exchange across systems.
2. Government and hospital authorities should prioritize funding for reliable electricity, stable internet connectivity, and modern hardware to support integrated systems.
3. Training programs should be established for healthcare professionals and IT personnel to build expertise in health informatics and system integration.
4. Hospitals should move toward centralized or federated database models to improve coordination, reduce redundancy, and enhance decision-making.

5.5 Contribution to Knowledge

This study makes significant contributions to knowledge in the field of health information systems and healthcare service delivery, particularly within the context of developing countries such as Nigeria.

First, the study provides empirical evidence on the extent of healthcare database heterogeneity in selected hospitals in Ibadan and Lagos. While existing literature has largely focused on developed health systems, this study offers context-specific data that highlight the realities of fragmented digital health infrastructures in Nigerian hospitals.

Second, the study contributes by identifying and validating key challenges associated with fragmented healthcare databases, including poor infrastructure, inadequate funding, and lack of technical expertise. By empirically establishing these factors, the study deepens understanding of the structural and operational barriers to effective database integration in resource-constrained environments.

Third, the study advances knowledge by demonstrating the direct impact of database fragmentation on healthcare service delivery. It establishes a clear link between fragmented systems and negative outcomes such as duplication of diagnostic tests, delays in treatment, and poor clinical decision-making, thereby reinforcing existing theoretical assumptions with empirical evidence from the Nigerian context.

Fourth, the study provides evidence-based insights into the benefits of integrating heterogeneous healthcare databases. It shows that integration significantly improves efficiency, enhances coordination, supports better clinical decisions, and reduces redundancy in healthcare processes. This contributes to the growing body of literature advocating for interoperability in health systems.

Finally, the study offers practical and policy-relevant contributions by proposing actionable strategies such as the adoption of interoperability standards, investment in digital infrastructure, and capacity building in health informatics. These recommendations are tailored to the realities of the Nigerian healthcare system and can guide policymakers, hospital administrators, and IT professionals in improving healthcare service delivery.

5.6 Suggestions for Further Studies

In view of the findings and recommendations of this study, several areas are suggested for further research to deepen understanding and enhance the implementation of healthcare database integration.

First, future studies should adopt experimental or longitudinal research designs to examine the long-term impact of implementing interoperability standards such as HL7 and FHIR on healthcare service delivery. This would provide more robust evidence on causal relationships and the sustainability of integrated health information systems over time.

Second, further research should explore the effect of infrastructural development—such as improved electricity supply, internet connectivity, and digital hardware—on the successful integration of healthcare databases. Comparative studies across regions with varying levels of infrastructure could provide deeper insights into how environmental factors influence system performance.

Third, researchers should investigate the role of funding models and financial investments in supporting healthcare information system integration. Studies could examine public-private partnerships, government interventions, and donor-funded initiatives to determine the most effective approaches to financing digital health transformation.

Fourth, additional studies are needed to assess the impact of capacity building and training in health informatics on the effectiveness of database integration. Such studies could evaluate how the skills and competencies of healthcare professionals and IT personnel influence system adoption, utilization, and outcomes.

Finally, future research should examine the comparative effectiveness of different database integration models, such as centralized, decentralized, and federated systems, within the Nigerian healthcare context. This would help determine the most suitable architecture for improving coordination, reducing redundancy, and enhancing decision-making in healthcare institutions.

References

Adler-Milstein, J., & Jha, A. K. (2017). HITECH Act drove large gains in hospital electronic health record adoption. Health Affairs, 36(8), 1416–1422. https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2016.1651

Adeleke, I. T., Asiru, M. A., Oweghoro, B. M., Jimoh, A. B., & Ndana, A. M. (2015). Computer and internet use among tertiary healthcare providers in Nigeria. American Journal of Health Research, 3(1), 1–6.

Adeleke, I. T., et al. (2015). American Journal of Health Research.

Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. Journal of Management, 17(1), 99–120.

Bates, D. W., Levine, D. M., Syrowatka, A., Kuznetsova, M., Craig, K. J. T., Rui, A., & Jackson, G. P. (2018). The potential of artificial intelligence to improve patient safety. NPJ Digital Medicine, 1, 54.

Eze, C., Okafor, I., & Okechukwu, C. (2021). Challenges of electronic health records in Nigerian urban hospitals. African Journal of Health Informatics, 14(2), 45–55.

Fraser, H. S. F., Blaya, J., Choi, S., Bonilla, C., & Jazayeri, D. (2005). Implementing medical information systems in developing countries. Informatics in Primary Care, 13(2), 83–95.

Hersh, W., Totten, A., Eden, K., Devine, B., Gorman, P., Kassakian, S., & McDonagh, M. (2013). Outcomes from health information exchange: Systematic review. Annals of Internal Medicine, 158(11), 803–810.

Kaur, H., Kruse, C. S., & Wong, B. (2019). Barriers and benefits of health information exchange adoption. Health Policy and Technology, 8(2), 115–121.

Kruse, C. S., Stein, A., Thomas, H., & Kaur, H. (2018). The use of electronic health records to support population health. Journal of Medical Systems, 42(11), 214.

Mandel, J. C., Kreda, D. A., Mandl, K. D., Kohane, I. S., & Ramoni, R. B. (2016). SMART on FHIR: A standards-based interoperable apps platform. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 23(5), 899–908.

Ojo, T., Adepoju, A., & Oladejo, D. (2020). Electronic health records in Nigerian hospitals: Implementation challenges. Journal of Health Informatics in Africa, 8(1), 1–10.

Vest, J. R., & Gamm, L. D. (2010). Health information exchange: Persistent challenges and new strategies. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 17(3), 288–294.

Were, M., Wanyama, J., & Ndegwa, P. (2019). Integration of electronic medical records in Kenyan hospitals. International Journal of Medical Informatics, 129, 304–312.

World Health Organization. (2018). Classification of digital health interventions v1.0. WHO Press.

World Health Organization (WHO). (2019). WHO guideline: Recommendations on digital interventions for health system strengthening. Geneva: WHO.

Access this Article in Online	
Quick Response Code	Website: www.ijarm.com
	Subject: Health Informatics
DOI:10.22192/ijamr.2026.13.05.002	

How to cite this article:
Idoko Peter Samson and Egheneji Akpevwe Wealth. (2026). Synthesizing heterogeneous healthcare databases for better healthcare services: Hospitals in Ibadan and Lagos as a Case Study. Int. J. Adv. Multidiscip. Res. 13(5): 7-25.
DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22192/ijamr.2026.13.05.002