Int. J. Adv. Multidiscip. Res. (2025). 12(11): 42-48

International Journal of Advanced Multidisciplinary Research
ISSN: 2393-8870
www.ijarm.com
(A Peer Reviewed, Referred, Indexed and Open Access Journal)
DOI: 10.22192/ijamr Volume 12, Issue 11-2025

Review Article

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22192/ijamr.2025.12.11.004

Improvement of the Care of African Americans with Type 2 Diabetes and Depression: A Critical Literature Review

Amaeze, A. A[1]; Egbumike,J. C[1]; Chris-Nzurike, Faith[1];Amaeze, F.N[2];Agoha, R. C[3]; Igwe, A .A.[1] and *Obeagu, Emmanuel Ifeanyi[4]

[1]Department of Physiotherapy, Evangel University, Akaeze, Ebonyi State, Nigeria.
[2]Department of Public Health, Evangel University, Akaeze, Ebonyi State, Nigeria.
[3]College of Nursing Science, National Orthopedic Hospital, Enugu, Nigeria.
[4]Department of Biomedical and Laboratory Science, Africa University, Mutare, Zimbabwe
*Corresponding author: Emmanuel Ifeanyi Obeagu,Department of Biomedical and Laboratory Science, Africa University, Mutare, Zimbabwe, E-mail: *emmanuleobeagu@yahoo.com*

Keywords

African Americans, Type 2 Diabetes, Depression, Health Disparities, Integrated Care

Abstract

African Americans bear a disproportionate burden of Type 2 diabetes (T2D) and depression, two chronic conditions that frequently coexist and exacerbate one another, leading to poorer glycemic control, reduced treatment adherence, higher complication rates, and increased mortality. This critical literature review evaluates current evidence on the quality of care delivered to African Americans with comorbid T2D and depression, with a focus on structural inequities, cultural determinants, diagnostic limitations, and the effectiveness of existing intervention models. Findings reveal persistent gaps in screening practices, inadequate integration of mental and metabolic care, underrepresentation in clinical research, and limited availability of culturally tailored approaches. Although community-based, collaborative, and behavioral health–integrated interventions show promise, their scalability and long-term effectiveness remain insufficiently studied. The review emphasizes the need for equity-driven, culturally responsive, multidisciplinary strategies that address both systemic barriers and patient-centered determinants to improve outcomes and reduce enduring health disparities for African Americans living with T2D and depression.

Introduction

Type 2 diabetes (T2D) remains one of the most significant chronic health challenges in the United States, and its impact is disproportionately borne by African Americans. This population experiences earlier onset, higher prevalence, poorer glycemic control, and greater rates of microvascular and macrovascular complications compared to White counterparts. Parallel to this metabolic burden is a heightened vulnerability to depression, a condition that is both underdiagnosed and undertreated in African American communities. The coexistence of depression and T2D is clinically consequential—depressive symptoms are associated with impaired self-management, reduced medication adherence, unhealthy lifestyle patterns, diminished health-seeking behaviors, and accelerated disease progression. Conversely, the physiological and psychosocial strain of T2D increases the risk of developing depression, creating a cyclical interaction that compounds morbidity and mortality [1-2].

Despite growing awareness of this bidirectional relationship, mainstream diabetes care models have historically failed to integrate mental health screening and treatment as core components of chronic disease management. Structural inequities—including socioeconomic disadvantage, limited access to quality healthcare, residential segregation, food insecurity, and systemic racism—further deepen disparities in disease outcomes. Cultural influences such as stigma surrounding mental illness, norms of emotional resilience, spiritual coping frameworks, and mistrust of medical systems shape how African Americans perceive, report, and seek care for depression, often contributing to diagnostic blind spots within clinical practice. In addition, widely used depression assessment instruments may lack cultural validity, leading to under-recognition of symptom expression that differs from stereotypical diagnostic profiles [3].

Existing literature acknowledges these disparities, yet much of it remains fragmented, disease-specific, and insufficiently aligned with the lived realities of affected populations. Intervention studies frequently emphasize individual behavior modification while neglecting structural determinants that constrain patient agency. Furthermore, African Americans remain underrepresented in clinical trials evaluating integrated diabetes and mental health interventions, limiting the generalizability of evidence-based models. Community-based programs, collaborative care frameworks, telehealth strategies, and culturally tailored behavioral interventions offer encouraging but incomplete progress, often lacking longitudinal follow-up, scalability assessments, or rigorous outcome measures [4-5].This review therefore evaluates the strengths, weaknesses, and evidence gaps within the literature, highlighting opportunities for innovation in culturally responsive, multidisciplinary, and equity-centered approaches. By scrutinizing existing knowledge and exposing areas of neglect, the review aims to inform future research, guide policy reform, and promote care models capable of reducing persistent disparities and improving holistic health outcomes in this high-risk population.

Aim

The aim of this critical literature review is to evaluate and synthesize existing evidence on the care of African Americans living with Type 2 diabetes and comorbid depression, with a focus on determining the extent to which current clinical practices, intervention models, and health system approaches address the unique cultural, structural, and psychosocial factors influencing disease outcomes in this population. The review seeks to identify strengths, limitations, and gaps within the literature, highlight barriers to effective and equitable care, and outline priority areas for improving culturally responsive, integrated, and equity-driven strategies that can reduce disparities and enhance overall health outcomes.

Methodological Overview of Reviewed Literature

This review employed a structured critical literature evaluation approach designed to capture

the scope, quality, and thematic depth of research addressing the care of African Americans with comorbid Type 2 diabetes and depression. The search strategy focused on peer-reviewed publications, governmental and public health reports, clinical guidelines, and community health intervention studies. Databases consulted included PubMed, PsycINFO, CINAHL, Scopus, and Google Scholar. Search terms were used in various combinations, including: *African Americans*, *Type 2 diabetes*, *depression*, *health disparities*, *integrated care*, *mental health screening*, *culturally tailored interventions*, *chronic disease management*, and *comorbidity*. Literature published between 2000 and 2025 was prioritized to ensure contemporary relevance, while seminal earlier works were included when foundational to theoretical framing.

Eligibility criteria required that studies:

- Focus on African American adults or include subgroup analyses
- Address the coexistence or interaction of T2D and depression
- Examine clinical care, psychosocial influences, structural determinants, or intervention outcomes
- Provide empirical, theoretical, or policy-relevant insights

Excluded materials included publications lacking population specificity, studies focusing exclusively on Type 1 diabetes, commentary without empirical basis, and work centered primarily on non-U.S. populations due to differing health system contexts.

Selected studies were critically appraised for methodological rigor, sample representativeness, cultural sensitivity, diagnostic validity, and applicability to real-world care delivery. Both qualitative and quantitative research designs were incorporated to capture experiential, behavioral, and clinical dimensions of the comorbidity. Particular attention was given to whether studies:

- Integrated mental and metabolic health outcomes
- Included culturally adapted tools or interventions
- Addressed systemic inequities such as racism, access barriers, and socioeconomic determinants
- Evaluated long-term impacts rather than short-term symptom change

Recurring limitations identified across the literature included small sample sizes, inadequate control groups, reliance on self-reported measures, underrepresentation of African Americans in clinical trials, and limited examination of structural drivers of disparities. These methodological weaknesses informed the critique presented in subsequent sections.This methodological approach supports a comprehensive and analytical synthesis of the literature, enabling identification of evidence gaps, emerging research directions, and implications for improving culturally responsive and integrated care models for African Americans living with Type 2 diabetes and depression.

Current Evidence and Key Findings

The literature examining the care of African Americans with comorbid Type 2 diabetes and depression reveals a complex interplay of clinical, cultural, and structural factors that continue to shape health outcomes. A consistent pattern across studies shows that depression remains widely underdiagnosed and undertreated within this population, despite clear evidence that depressive symptoms are associated with poorer glycemic control, lower adherence to medication and dietary recommendations, and higher rates of diabetes-related complications. Clinicians frequently overlook or misinterpret depressive symptoms, in part because African Americans often present distress through somatic or behavioral expressions rather than verbal emotional disclosure. This diagnostic gap is intensified by limitations in commonly used depression screening instruments, many of which lack cultural calibration and therefore fail to capture the nuanced ways psychological distress

may manifest among African American patients. These shortcomings contribute to delayed treatment, fragmented care pathways, and a widening gap in disease outcomes when compared to other racial groups [6-7].

The literature further demonstrates that sociocultural and psychosocial determinants play a critical role in shaping care experiences and disease progression. Medical mistrust—rooted in historical trauma and contemporary bias—reduces healthcare engagement and willingness to participate in mental health assessment or treatment. Stigma surrounding depression and cultural expectations of resilience discourage acknowledgment of emotional suffering, while socioeconomic inequities limit access to high-quality care, healthy food options, continuous insurance coverage, and safe environments conducive to physical activity. Research also highlights the cumulative physiological burden of chronic stress, including discrimination-related stress, which has been linked to both worsening depressive symptoms and dysregulated glucose metabolism. Taken together, these studies illustrate that traditional biomedical models fail to adequately account for the contextual realities shaping this dual disease burden [8-9].

Evidence evaluating integrated and collaborative care models suggests potential benefits, particularly when behavioral health services are embedded into primary care or diabetes management programs. Improvements in depressive symptoms, HbA1c levels, and self-management behaviors have been documented; however, these studies often involve small samples, short follow-up periods, or limited African American representation, thereby constraining generalizability. Structural barriers—including reimbursement limitations, shortages of culturally competent mental health providers, and fragmentation between medical and behavioral health systems—also impede scalability and long-term implementation. As a result, while integrated care is conceptually promising, its impact remains uneven and insufficiently realized in real-world settings serving African American communities [10-11].

Community-based, peer-led, and faith-oriented interventions emerge in the literature as culturally resonant strategies that foster trust, empowerment, and engagement. Church-based health programs, community health workers, and peer support models have demonstrated improvements in self-efficacy, coping, and adherence to diabetes care. However, the evidence base suffers from methodological inconsistencies, limited mental health integration, and a lack of standardized outcome measures, making it difficult to evaluate effectiveness or compare interventions across contexts. Digital and telehealth approaches represent a more recent area of inquiry, with studies indicating potential benefits for access and continuity of care. Yet technological disparities—including broadband access, device affordability, and digital literacy—disproportionately affect African Americans, raising concerns that technology-driven models may unintentionally reinforce inequities unless intentionally designed with these barriers in mind [12-13].

Across the literature, a clear pattern emerges: while the comorbidity of Type 2 diabetes and depression in African Americans is increasingly recognized and theoretically addressed, practical implementation of equitable, culturally responsive care remains limited. The evidence reflects conceptual progress but also persistent gaps in screening practices, intervention design, population representation, and health system readiness. Overall, current findings underscore that improving outcomes requires not only clinical refinement but also structural transformation, cultural alignment, and sustained evaluation of integrated approaches capable of addressing the intertwined metabolic and mental health needs of African Americans living with Type 2 diabetes and depression [14].

Critical Gaps in the Literature

Although the literature has increasingly acknowledged the disproportionate burden of Type 2 diabetes and depression among African Americans, significant gaps remain that limit the development of effective, equitable, and culturally grounded approaches to care. One of the most

striking shortcomings is the tendency for research to address diabetes and depression as separate clinical entities rather than as mutually reinforcing conditions. Studies seldom explore the biological, psychological, and behavioral mechanisms through which depression worsens glycemic control or how the metabolic and lifestyle demands of diabetes contribute to depressive symptomatology. This fragmentation perpetuates care models that treat the conditions in isolation and fails to recognize the cyclical nature of the comorbidity, particularly within populations facing chronic socioeconomic and psychosocial stress.Another major gap arises from the persistent underrepresentation of African Americans in clinical research. Many intervention trials include only small numbers of African American participants or fail to analyze outcomes by race, limiting the applicability of findings to the population most affected. This underrepresentation extends beyond numbers; there is also a lack of attention to diversity within the African American population itself. Age, gender, geographic region, cultural background, and socioeconomic status are rarely examined as intersecting variables that shape disease experience, further narrowing the relevance of existing evidence.

Diagnostic validity poses an additional challenge. Widely used depression screening tools have not been sufficiently adapted or validated for African American cultural expression, leading to underdiagnosis, misclassification, and inconsistent identification in both research and clinical settings. As a result, studies that rely on these instruments may underestimate the true prevalence and severity of depression, weakening the foundation on which treatment strategies are built.The literature also reveals a pervasive emphasis on individual behavior change, often framing poor outcomes as failures of motivation, compliance, or lifestyle choice. Such frameworks minimize the structural determinants of health—including racism, inequitable healthcare access, neighborhood disadvantage, food deserts, and insurance instability—that constrain the ability to manage chronic illness. Few studies engage with policy implications or consider how systemic inequities shape both depression and diabetes trajectories in African American communities. Even where promising models exist, such as integrated behavioral–medical care or community-based approaches, the evidence base remains limited by short study durations, small sample sizes, inconsistent outcome measures, and a lack of scalability assessments. Telehealth and digital interventions are growing in prominence, yet the literature pays insufficient attention to the digital divide, risking the widening of disparities rather than their reduction.

Conclusion

The evidence reviewed demonstrates that African Americans living with Type 2 diabetes and depression continue to experience disproportionate barriers to effective, equitable, and culturally attuned care. Although the dual burden of these conditions is well documented, health systems, clinical practices, and research frameworks have not sufficiently adapted to address the intertwined biological, psychosocial, and structural pathways that drive poor outcomes in this population. Persistent underdiagnosis of depression, limited integration of mental health within diabetes management, and inadequate recognition of sociocultural influences contribute to fragmented care and worsening disparities. While emerging models—such as collaborative care, community-engaged interventions, and telehealth-supported approaches—show promise, their reach remains limited by methodological weaknesses, scalability challenges, and insufficient cultural tailoring.

The literature clearly indicates that improving outcomes for African Americans with comorbid Type 2 diabetes and depression requires a shift from individual-focused disease management to systemic, equity-centered approaches that acknowledge the roles of racism, socioeconomic constraints, stigma, and medical mistrust. Advancing progress will depend on developing culturally valid diagnostic tools, expanding representation in clinical research, integrating behavioral and metabolic care pathways, and

embedding structural competency within healthcare delivery. Ultimately, sustainable improvement will rely on policies, practices, and research agendas that foreground cultural responsiveness, community partnership, and health justice. Without such transformation, disparities will persist; with it, there is potential to meaningfully enhance quality of life, treatment engagement, and long-term clinical outcomes for African Americans navigating the dual challenges of Type 2 diabetes and depression.

References

1. Hackl, C. M., Lee, W. C., Sallam, H. S., Jneid, H., Campbell, K. M., &Serag, H. (2024). Racial Disparities in Selected Complications and Comorbidities among People with Type 2 Diabetes. *Healthcare (Basel, Switzerland)*, *12*(8), 846. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare12080846
2. Yedjou, C. G., Sims, J. N., Njiki, S., Chitoh, A. M., Joseph, M., Cherkos, A. S., &Tchounwou, P. B. (2024). Health and Racial Disparities in Diabetes Mellitus Prevalence, Management, Policies, and Outcomes in the United States. *Journal of community medicine & public health*, *8*(3), 460. https://doi.org/10.29011/2577-2228.100460
3. Buchanan, G. J. R., Berge, J. M., & F Piehler, T. (2024). Integrated behavioral health implementation and chronic disease management inequities: an exploratory study of statewide data. *BMC primary care*, *25*(1), 302. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-024-02483-5
4. Kirkbride, J. B., Anglin, D. M., Colman, I., Dykxhoorn, J., Jones, P. B., Patalay, P., Pitman, A., Soneson, E., Steare, T., Wright, T., & Griffiths, S. L. (2024). The social determinants of mental health and disorder: evidence, prevention and recommendations. *World psychiatry : official journal of the World Psychiatric Association (WPA)*, *23*(1), 58–90. https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.21160
5. Coombs, N. C., Campbell, D. G., &Caringi, J. (2022). A qualitative study of rural healthcare providers' views of social, cultural, and programmatic barriers to healthcare access. *BMC health services research*, *22*(1), 438. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-022-07829-2
6. Husaini, B. A., Hull, P. C., Sherkat, D. E., Emerson, J. S., Overton, M. T., Craun, C., Cain, V. A., & Levine, R. S. (2004). Diabetes, depression, and healthcare utilization among African Americans in primary care. *Journal of the National Medical Association*, *96*(4), 476–484.
7. Osborn, C. Y., Patel, K. A., Liu, J., Trott, H. W., Buchowski, M. S., Hargreaves, M. K., Blot, W. J., Cohen, S. S., & Schlundt, D. G. (2011). Diabetes and co-morbid depression among racially diverse, low-income adults. *Annals of behavioral medicine : a publication of the Society of Behavioral Medicine*, *41*(3), 300–309. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12160-010-9241-1
8. Hammond W. P. (2010). Psychosocial correlates of medical mistrust among African American men. *American journal of community psychology*, *45*(1-2), 87–106. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10464-009-9280-6
9. Kohrt, B. A., Turner, E. L., Rai, S., Bhardwaj, A., Sikkema, K. J., Adelekun, A., Dhakal, M., Luitel, N. P., Lund, C., Patel, V., &Jordans, M. J. D. (2020). Reducing mental illness stigma in healthcare settings: Proof of concept for a social contact intervention to address what matters most for primary care providers. *Social science & medicine (1982)*, *250*, 112852. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2020.112852
10. Wang, Y., Hu, M., Zhu, D., Ding, R., & He, P. (2022). Effectiveness of Collaborative Care for Depression and HbA1c in Patients with Depression and Diabetes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *International journal of integrated care*, *22*(3), 12. https://doi.org/10.5334/ijic.6443
11. Heilbrun, A., &Drossos, T. (2020). Evidence for Mental Health Contributions to Medical Care in Diabetes Management: Economic and Professional Considerations. *Current diabetes reports*, *20*(12), 79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11892-020-01337-5

12. Ali, S. H., Mohsin, F. M., Banks, A., Lynn, P., & Lim, S. (2022). Peer-Led, Remote Intervention to Improve Mental Health Outcomes Using a Holistic, Spirituality-Based Approach: Results from a Pilot Study. *Community mental health journal*, *58*(5), 862–874. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10597-021-00893-8
13. Tinago, C. B., Frongillo, E. A., Warren, A. M., Chitiyo, V., Jackson, T. N., Cifarelli, A. K., Fyalkowski, S., & Pauline, V. (2024). Testing the Effectiveness of a Community-Based Peer Support Intervention to Mitigate Social Isolation and Stigma of Adolescent Motherhood in Zimbabwe. *Maternal and child health journal*, *28*(4), 657–666. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10995-023-03821-2
14. Bogner, H. R., & de Vries, H. F. (2010). Integrating type 2 diabetes mellitus and depression treatment among African Americans: a randomized controlled pilot trial. *The Diabetes educator*, *36*(2), 284–292. https://doi.org/10.1177/0145721709356115

Access this Article in Online	
Quick Response Code	Website: www.ijarm.com
	Subject: Health Sciences
DOI:10.22192/ijamr.2025.12.11.004	

How to cite this article:
Amaeze, A. A; Egbumike,J. C; Chris-Nzurike, Faith;Amaeze, F.N;Agoha, R. C; Igwe, A .A. and Obeagu, Emmanuel Ifeanyi. (2025). Improvement of the Care of African Americans with Type 2 Diabetes and Depression: A Critical Literature Review. Int. J. Adv. Multidiscip. Res. 12(11): 42-48. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22192/ijamr.2025.12.11.004