

Research Article

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22192/ijamr.2021.08.09.002>

## Effect of Illness Duration and Gender on Coping Skills Deficiency among Chronic Illness Patients

**Meera Padhy \***: Assistant Professor, Centre for Health Psychology, University of Hyderabad

**Meena Hariharan**: Professor, Centre for Health Psychology, University of Hyderabad

**Oindrila Mukherjee**: Research Scholar, Centre for Health Psychology, University of Hyderabad

**Suma Lavanya Mutnury**: Research Scholar, Centre for Health Psychology,  
University of Hyderabad

**Varsha Anand**: Research Scholar, Centre for Health Psychology, University of Hyderabad

\***Corresponding Author**: Meera Padhy

Centre for Health Psychology, University of Hyderabad, Hyderabad Central University Rd, CUC,  
Gachibowli, Telangana - 500046

E-mail: [meerapadhy@yahoo.com](mailto:meerapadhy@yahoo.com)

### Abstract

This quantitative study examines the coping skills deficiency among chronic illness patients based on their illness duration and gender, and the interaction between the two. A clinical sample of 1198 patients were recruited using purposive sampling technique. The data were analyzed using two-way ANOVA. Results showed a significant difference in coping skills deficiency with respect to illness duration. On the dimensions of anxiety and frustration, no significant difference was found between men and women. Further, no interaction effect was observed. Coping skills deficiency was exhibited more by individuals who were recently diagnosed with their chronic condition and had been living with it for less than 4 years compared to individuals who had it for more than 4 years. Recommendations for future research may include a qualitative understanding of individualized perceptions rather than collective perceptions related to chronic illness-related coping mechanisms.

### Keywords

coping skills  
deficiency,  
illness duration,  
gender,  
ANOVA

## Introduction

Medical technology has been developing rapidly. Despite the advancements in medical technology, human beings are not free from chronic illnesses and associated negative consequences, both physical and psychological. One of the major contributing factors towards an individual's chronic illness is their lifestyle. A chronic illness is understood as any illness sustaining for a period of more than 6 months and with a prolonged dormancy of clinical course; of multi-factorial aetiology; with no certain cure. Non-communicable diseases contribute towards 60% of the total deaths in India (Nethan, Sinha & Mehrotra, 2017). According to WHO (2021), the leading chronic illnesses are cardiovascular diseases, cancers, respiratory diseases and diabetes. Global disability adjusted life years for several non-communicable diseases increased by 40.1% as on 2017 (Kyu et al., 2017).

The risk factors for developing chronic illnesses may include environmental factors, cultural factors, political factors, and socioeconomic factors. Besides these heredity, age, and familial factors may also contribute to the development of certain chronic diseases. Furthermore, the urbanization and globalization have also been contributing to the risk factors for NCDs as these phenomena influence the lifestyle and health behaviour of people immensely.

Larsen and Lubkin(2006)define chronic illness as the lived experience of the individual and family diagnosed with chronic disease. The values of individual and family impact the perceptions and beliefs of the health behaviours. These values are influenced by demographic, technological, cultural, and environmental factors. Thus, it can be argued that the chronic illness affects the individuals in their totality including biological domain such as physiological status and physical symptoms; psychological domain such as perception and beliefs about illness, emotional well-being, self-efficacy, coping mechanisms; and social domain including interpersonal relationships and perceived social support.

## Coping with Chronic Illness

Coping is a dynamic process involving the interaction and influence between the individuals and their environment. People differ in the way they handle or cope with any chronic illness and the associated problems they face (Sarafino & Smith, 2014). Coping is the action by which individuals try to handle any perceived discrepancy that they appraise in a stressful situation, between the demands presented and the available resources (Sarafino & Smith, 2014). These individual differences in coping with chronic illness are attributed to typical coping strategies people adopt. These strategies include information seeking, avoidance, selective ignoring, learning about illness-related aspects and seeking comfort from others (Felton & Revenson, 1984).

Crisis theory (Moos, 1982) focuses on the factors influencing the coping process such as illness-related factors (treatment regimen, use of artificial devices), background and personal factors (personality traits, age, gender) and social factors (health facilities, interpersonal relationships, and nature of social support). These factors are mutually interrelated and affect the coping process collectively. The coping process starts with an individual's cognitive appraisal of the health problem or perception of the illness. This cognitive appraisal facilitates the person to devise adaptive tasks and to exercise numerous coping skills in order to manage these tasks. Two main types of adaptive tasks involve 'tasks related to the illness or treatment' (managing illness symptoms and adjusting to the illness-related procedures) and 'tasks related to general psychosocial functioning' such as controlling negative affect, maintaining healthy interpersonal relationships, and retaining a positive sense of self (Sarafino & Smith, 2014).

Chronic illnesses have adverse psychological consequences which are seldom addressed in clinical settings. Individuals often rely on their internal and external resources for coping by

managing the stress related to their chronic illness. Coping strategies differ in their type and style across chronic conditions. Individual differences highly affect the nature and types of coping strategies used. Personality traits are an important predictor of coping as they operate in almost all situations unlike coping styles which come into play only when encountered with a stressful situation (Taylor, 2015).

The individuals diagnosed with chronic conditions often experience a high level of frustration and anxiety due to fear and the inability to deal with symptoms and consequences of the illness. Maladaptive coping is correlated with poorer quality of life, depressive and anxiety symptoms in individuals with diabetes (Burns et al., 2016; Clarke & Goosen, 2009; Smari & Valtysdottir, 1997; Zhang et al., 2009). Rheumatoid arthritis patients engaging in dysfunctional coping were found to be suffering from depression (Ziarko et al., 2014). Another study found that emotion-focused coping results in adverse consequences while problem-focused coping results in beneficial consequences in relation to anxiety and depression in an adult sample with Crohn's disease (Knowles et al., 2011). Maladaptive coping acts as a mediator between illness perception and depression and anxiety in chronic illness patients. (Hagger et al., 2017; Knowles et al., 2017). This maladaptive coping is reflected in individuals with chronic illnesses through their coping skills deficiency.

In the current study, coping skills deficiency is measured on the dimensions of anxiety, frustration, disappointment, rejection and disapproval; which are some of the negative emotions, an individual suffering from a chronic illness experiences. Coping with these dimensions is very important in adapting successfully to a chronic condition. Anxiety as a resultant of fear is often seen in patients diagnosed with a chronic condition, especially in patients awaiting test results. Frustration is caused due to the inability of an individual in being able to deal with the symptoms of the disease and hassles that come along with it. Also, frustration is often

experienced as a resultant of reduced mental and physical abilities which can be as incapacitating as the chronic illness itself. Disappointment when occurring due to a minor issue pertaining to our everyday lives is easier to cope with rather than in the context of a more severe situation such as a disabling or threatening chronic condition. Rejection is often experienced by chronically ill individuals during the course of their treatment from their families, friends and spouses which affects their adjustment patterns.

Therefore, it is imperative to investigate the existing level of coping skills in individuals with chronic illness and which individuals experience higher coping skills deficiency.

Coping in individuals with chronic illness is affected by several clinical, demographic and psychosocial factors. Illness duration and gender are two such factors that have been sparingly explored in relation to coping with chronic illnesses.

### **Illness Duration and Coping in Chronic Illness**

The amount of time that passes after the diagnosis of any chronic illness has an impact on the individual's experience of the chronic illness. Illness duration has been positively associated with adherence, sleep problems and quality of life (Bhandari, 2016; Jankowska-Pola ska et al., 2017; Uchmanowicz et al., 2019) across various chronic illnesses. Most research on chronic illnesses study participants who have a long illness duration. Thus, there is less knowledge available about those who are in the nascent stages of their illness (Kneck et al., 2011).

Out of the limited studies that have assessed the correlation between illness duration and coping behaviour, one study (Rassart et al, 2014) found a positive correlation between duration of illness and two ways of coping – passive resignation and avoidant coping – in diabetic individuals from Belgium. In another study; Brown, Brown and Jason (2010) found that participants with a longer illness duration had greater use of coping strategies like planning and acceptance, positive

reframing, active coping, and lower use of behavioural disengagement than those in the shorter illness duration group. Such findings demonstrate a need for researchers to explore the effect of illness duration on coping in individuals with chronic illness.

### Gender and Coping in Chronic Illness

“Gender” is a multi-faceted variable including biological, social and psychological differences (Moller-Leimkuhler, 2007). Literature related to the role of gender in physical morbidities is limited in comparison to understanding the differences in mortality (Read & Gorman, 2010). Gender differences related to chronic illnesses can be reflected in individuals’ illness perception, health-seeking behaviour, perceived stigma, support system, coping mechanisms and psychological adjustment amongst others.

Gender related differences are reflected in the usage of coping skills at the physical and psychological level attributed to sexual dimorphism in the brain, physiological make up of sex hormones, hormonal differences etc. (Sinha & Latha, 2018).

Gender differences were reported among individuals suffering with Type-2 diabetes where men showed increased adjustment, coping, integration, quality of life, and wellbeing in comparison to women (Sridhar et al., 2007). Though elevated symptoms of anxiety were observed in both men and women in a diabetic sample, prevalence of the symptoms were more among the women compared to men (Grigsby et al., 2002) and additionally, the type of coping strategy employed was seen to affect the individual’s anxiety and depression levels differently among men and women (Duangdao & Roesch, 2008).

A study by Farcas and Nastasa (2011) also showed gender plays a significant role in selecting a coping mechanism. In their study, women frequently used seeking social and emotional support, denial and positive thinking, venting of emotions and on the other hand men employed, mental and behavioural disengagement, and seeking instrumental social support as coping mechanisms. In older (65-79 years), depressive, anxious or patients with multiple comorbidities venting of emotions was used as a passive type of coping.

Living with chronic illness is often challenging. Every individual experiences a unique combination of life events, processes, and outcomes underlying their adjustment to a chronic illness. Based on the literature discussed above, the following objectives were made:

**Objective 1:** To find out the effect of illness duration on coping skills deficiency.

**Objective 2:** To find out the difference between men and women on coping skills deficiency.

**Objective 3:** To find out the interaction effect of illness duration and gender on chronic illness deficiency.

Based on the objectives, the following hypothesis were formulated:

**Hypothesis 1:** There would be an effect of illness duration on coping skills deficiency.

**Hypothesis 2:** There would be a difference between men and women on coping skills deficiency.

**Hypothesis 3:** There would be an interaction effect of illness duration and gender on coping skills deficiency.

## Materials and Methods

The objective of this causal comparative study is to determine chronic illness patients' coping skills deficiency based on illness duration as well as gender. The research design employed in this study is a 3 (Illness Duration) X 2 (Gender) independent groups factorial design with unequal cell sizes. The independent variables are illness duration (Group 1: 1 month-4 years; Group 2: above 4 years-9 years; Group 3: above 9 years to 20 years) and gender (men and women). The dependent variable is coping skills deficiency. A two-way ANOVA will be utilized to compare the independent groups based on the dependent variable.

## Participants

The participants considered for this study were persons with chronic illness (es) from different parts of India. Purposive sampling technique was used to employ a total of 1198 chronic illness patients (589 men and 609 women). The age range was 25-75 years ( $M = 51.07$ ,  $SD = 11.61$ ). The sample consisted of participants with different chronic illnesses such as cardiovascular diseases, arthritis, asthma, cancer, diabetes, and thyroid disorders with the illness duration ranging from 1 month to 20 years, and were categorized into three groups based on the illness duration (Group 1: 1 month - 4 years; Group 2: above 4 years - 9 years; Group 3: above 9 years - 20 years). Group 1 consisted of 404 (190 male, 214 female;

33.7%), Group 2 consisted of 396 (192 male, 204 female; 33.1%), and Group 3 consisted of 398 (207 male, 191 female; 33.2%) participants respectively. Levene's test of equality of variances indicated the homogeneity of variances across the three groups.

## Measure

The coping skills deficiency scale (Sharoff, 2004) was used for this study to measure the level of coping skills deficiency of the participants. The scale consists of 18 items in the form of questions. These items measure 4 dimensions of coping skills deficiency which are anxiety, frustration, disappointment, rejection and disapproval. It is a 6-point Likert scale with options ranging from 0 (none at all) to 5 (an exceptional amount). A high score reflects a high level of coping skills deficiency i.e. a low score shows higher coping ability. Similarly, a low score reflects a low level of coping skills deficiency i.e. a high score shows lower coping ability. The maximum score that could be obtained is 90 and the minimum score that could be obtained is 0. Cronbach's alpha for the scale for this study is .81.

## Results

This multivariate study used a two-way ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) to test the hypotheses. The independent variables were illness duration and gender. The dependent variable was coping skills deficiency.

Table 1: Group Descriptive Statistics Dependent Variable: anxiety, Frustration, Disappointment, Rejection and disapproval

| Illness Duration | Gender | Anxiety |      | Frustration |      | Disappointment |      | Rejection and disapproval |      |      |
|------------------|--------|---------|------|-------------|------|----------------|------|---------------------------|------|------|
|                  |        | M       | SD   | M           | SD   | M              | SD   | M                         | SD   | N    |
| 1                | M      | 6.64    | 2.20 | 7.53        | 2.22 | 14.21          | 4.47 | 13.92                     | 5.52 | 190  |
|                  | F      | 7.04    | 2.44 | 7.75        | 2.15 | 15.07          | 4.77 | 15.06                     | 5.34 | 214  |
|                  | Total  | 6.85    | 2.34 | 7.52        | 2.19 | 14.66          | 4.65 | 14.52                     | 5.45 | 404  |
| 2                | M      | 6.34    | 2.18 | 6.74        | 2.09 | 12.29          | 4.91 | 11.45                     | 5.28 | 192  |
|                  | F      | 6.57    | 2.56 | 7.24        | 2.34 | 13.55          | 5.27 | 12.91                     | 6.08 | 204  |
|                  | Total  | 6.46    | 2.38 | 7.00        | 2.23 | 12.93          | 5.13 | 12.21                     | 5.74 | 396  |
| 3                | M      | 6.16    | 2.23 | 6.99        | 2.01 | 12.55          | 4.90 | 12.03                     | 5.63 | 207  |
|                  | F      | 6.31    | 2.36 | 7.04        | 2.22 | 14.17          | 4.96 | 13.45                     | 6.07 | 191  |
|                  | Total  | 6.24    | 2.29 | 7.01        | 2.11 | 13.33          | 4.99 | 12.71                     | 5.87 | 398  |
| Total            | M      | 6.37    | 2.21 | 7.08        | 2.13 | 13.00          | 4.83 | 12.45                     | 5.57 | 589  |
|                  | F      | 6.66    | 2.47 | 7.27        | 2.24 | 14.28          | 5.04 | 13.84                     | 5.89 | 609  |
|                  | Total  | 6.52    | 2.35 | 7.18        | 2.19 | 13.65          | 4.98 | 13.16                     | 5.77 | 1198 |

The assumption of homogeneity of variances was determined using Levene’s test which is given in Table 2. No violations to Levene’s test were

found and the assumption of homogeneity of variances was met. See Table 1 for Levene’s Test of Equality of Error Variances.

Table 2: Levene's Test of Equality of Error Variances

| Dependent variable        | F    | Df1 | Df2  | Significance |
|---------------------------|------|-----|------|--------------|
| Anxiety                   | 1.87 | 5   | 1192 | .10          |
| Frustration               | 1.31 | 5   | 1192 | .25          |
| Disappointment            | 1.67 | 5   | 1192 | .14          |
| Rejection and disapproval | 1.45 | 5   | 1192 | .21          |

Tests the null hypothesis that the error variance of the dependent variable is equal across groups.

As Levene’s test of equality of error variances tests homogeneity of variances, the non-significant results indicate that there is

homogeneity of variances across groups in all four dimensions.

Table 3 ANOVA performed on Anxiety score of the participants' illness duration and gender

| <i>Anxiety</i>       |          |      |       |        |             |
|----------------------|----------|------|-------|--------|-------------|
| Sources              | SS       | df   | MS    | F      | Effect size |
| Illness Duration (A) | 74.09    | 2    | 37.04 | 6.76** | .01         |
| Gender (B)           | 20.47    | 1    | 20.47 | 3.74   |             |
| A x B                | 3.41     | 2    | 1.71  | 0.31   |             |
| Error                | 6531.165 | 1192 | 5.48  |        |             |

\*\* p = .001

**Anxiety (dimension of coping skills deficiency)**

A two-way between groups analysis of variance was conducted to explore the impact of illness duration and gender on anxiety (dimension of coping skills deficiency). Participants were divided into three groups according to the illness duration (Group 1: 1 month-4 years; Group 2: above 4 years-9 years; Group 3: above 9 years). The interaction effect between illness duration and gender was not statistically significant  $F(2, 1192) = .31, p > .05$ . There was a statistically significant main effect for illness duration  $F(2,$

1192) = 6.76,  $p < .01$ ; however, the main effect was small (partial eta squared = .01). Post-hoc comparisons using the Tukey HSD test indicated that the mean score for the participants from group 1 ( $M = 6.85, SD = 2.34$ ) was significantly different from group 2 ( $M = 6.46, SD = 2.39$ ) and group 3 ( $M = 6.24, SD = 2.30$ ) in terms of anxiety ( $MD=0.39, p<0.05$ ), ( $MD=0.62, p<0.01$ ) respectively. Group 2 did not statistically differ from Group 3 in terms of anxiety. The main effect for type of gender was not statistically significant  $F(1, 1192) = 3.74, p > .05$ .

Table 4. ANOVA performed on Frustration score of the participants' illness duration and gender

| <i>Frustration</i>   |         |      |       |         |             |
|----------------------|---------|------|-------|---------|-------------|
| Sources              | SS      | Df   | MS    | F       | Effect size |
| illness duration (A) | 71.24   | 2    | 35.62 | 7.51*** | .01         |
| Gender (B)           | 9.14    | 1    | 9.14  | 1.93    |             |
| A x B                | 15.58   | 2    | 7.79  | 1.64    |             |
| Error                | 5654.16 | 1192 | 4.74  |         |             |

\*\*\* p = .001

**Frustration (dimension of coping skills deficiency)**

A two-way between groups analysis of variance was conducted to explore the impact of illness duration and gender on frustration (dimension of coping skills deficiency). Participants were divided into three groups according to the illness duration (group 1: 1 month-4 years; group 2: above 4 years-9 years; group 3: above 9 years). The interaction effect between illness duration and gender was not statistically significant  $F(2, 1192) = 1.64, p > .05$ . There was a statistically

significant main effect for illness duration  $F(2, 1192) = 7.51, p < .01$ ; however, the main effect was small (partial eta squared = .01). Post-hoc comparisons using the Tukey HSD test indicated that the mean score for the participants from group 1 ( $M = 7.52, SD = 2.19$ ) was significantly different from group 2 ( $M = 7.0, SD = 2.23$ ) and group 3 ( $M = 7.02, SD = 2.12$ ) in terms of frustration ( $MD=0.52, p<0.01$ ), ( $MD=0.50, p<0.01$ ) respectively. The group 2 did not statistically differ from group 3. The main effect for type of gender was not statistically significant  $F(1, 1192) = 1.93, p > .05$ .

Table 5 ANOVA performed on Disappointment score of the subjects in illness duration and gender

| <i>Disappointment</i> |          |      |        |           |             |
|-----------------------|----------|------|--------|-----------|-------------|
| Sources               | SS       | df   | MS     | F         | Effect size |
| Illness Duration (A)  | 634.022  | 2    | 317.01 | 13.25**** | .02         |
| Gender (B)            | 465.709  | 1    | 465.71 | 19.46**** | .02         |
| A x B                 | 28.72    | 2    | 14.36  | .60       |             |
| Error                 | 28522.14 | 1192 | 23.93  |           |             |

\*\*\*\* p = .000

**Disappointment (dimension of coping skills deficiency)**

A two-way between groups analysis of variance was conducted to explore the impact of illness duration and gender on disappointment (dimension of coping skills deficiency). Participants were divided into three groups according the illness duration (group 1: 1 month-4 years; group 2: above 4 years-9 years; group 3: above 9 years). The interaction effect between illness duration and gender was not statistically significant  $F(2, 1192) = .60, p > .05$ . There was a statistically significant main effect for illness

duration  $F(2, 1192) = 13.25, p < .001$ ; however, the main effect was small (partial eta squared = .02). Post-hoc comparisons using the Tukey HSD test indicated that the mean score for the participants from group 1 ( $M = 14.66, SD = 4.65$ ) was significantly different from group 2 ( $M = 12.94, SD = 5.13$ ) and group 3 ( $M = 13.33, SD = 4.99$ ) in terms of disappointment ( $MD=1.72, p<0.001$ ), ( $MD=1.33, p<0.001$ ) The group 2 did not statistically differ from group 3. The main effect for type of gender was statistically significant  $F(1, 1192) = 19.46, p < .001$ ; with a small effect (partial eta squared = .02).

Table 6: ANOVA performed on Rejection and disapproval score of the participants' illness duration and gender

| <i>Rejection and disapproval</i> |          |      |        |           |             |
|----------------------------------|----------|------|--------|-----------|-------------|
| Sources                          | SS       | df   | MS     | F         | Effect size |
| Illness Duration (A)             | 1155.50  | 2    | 577.75 | 18.03**** | .03         |
| Gender (B)                       | 535.28   | 1    | 535.28 | 16.71**** | .01         |
| A x B                            | 5.68     | 2    | 2.84   | .09       |             |
| Error                            | 38188.98 | 1192 | 32.04  |           |             |

\*\*\*\* p = .000

**Rejection and disapproval (dimension of coping skill deficiency)**

A two-way between groups analysis of variance was conducted to explore the impact of illness duration and gender on levels of rejection and disapproval (dimension of coping skill deficiency). Participants were divided into three groups according to the illness duration (**Group 1**

= 1 month-4 years; **Group 2** = above 4 years-9 years; **Group 3** = above 9 years). The interaction effect between illness duration and gender was not statistically significant  $F(2, 1192) = .09, p > .05$ . There was a statistically significant main effect for illness duration  $F(2, 1192) = 18.03, p < .001$ ; however, the main effect was small (partial eta squared = .03). Post-hoc comparisons using the Tukey HSD test indicated that the mean score



for the participants from group 1 (M = 14.52, SD = 5.45) was significantly different from group 2 (M = 12.21, SD = 5.74) and group 3 (M = 12.71, SD = 5.88) in terms of rejection and disapproval (MD=2.32,  $p<0.001$ ), (MD=1.81,  $p<0.001$ ) The

group 2 did not statistically differ from group 3. The main effect for type of gender was statistically significant  $F(1, 1192) = 16.71, p < .001$ ; with small effect size (partial eta squared = .01).

Table 7: Table showing mean comparisons using Tukey’s HSD between the three groups of illness duration for the variables under study

| Variables                 | Duration of Disease |                   |                     |
|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
|                           | Group I- Group II   | Group I-Group III | Group II- Group III |
| Anxiety                   | .39*                | .62**             | .22                 |
| Frustration               | .52**               | .50**             | .02                 |
| Disappointment            | 1.72***             | 1.33***           | .39                 |
| Rejection and disapproval | 2.32***             | 1.81***           | .51                 |

Note. Group I – One month –4years, Group II – Above 4-9 years, Group III – Above 9 years – 20 years  
 $*p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001$

## Discussion

The study aimed to explore the coping skills deficiency in chronic illness patients and examine the effect of illness duration and gender on their coping skills deficiency. The first hypothesis posited that there would be a difference in the level of anxiety, frustration, disappointment, rejection and disapproval among the three groups of chronic illness patients categorized on the basis of their illness duration.

This hypothesis was accepted as the results showed a difference in the level of the above-mentioned variables with respect to illness duration. It was seen that Group I (below 4 years) had higher coping skills deficiency than Group II (above 4 - 9 years) and Group III (Above 9 years). However, no significant differences were found between Group II and Group III in their overall coping skills deficiency. This indicates that duration of disease plays a considerable role in increasing patients’ coping level. This finding is in tandem with a previous study that reported higher use of adaptive coping strategies among

patients with longer duration of disease (Brown, Brown & Jason, 2010).

The lower coping skills deficiency as duration increased, may be attributed to an increased knowledge of the chronic condition. Cecily (2016) found a significant relationship between illness duration and level of knowledge in Indian adults with diabetes and hypertension. Disease knowledge is further positively associated with coping ability (Moradkhani et al., 2011; Najjar et al., 2020) and adherence (Sweileh et al., 2014; Mandpe et al., 2014) in individuals with different chronic illnesses. Jankowska-Pola ska et al. (2017) found a direct positive relationship between illness duration and adherence to pharmacological treatment in hypertensive individuals. One rare study by Dietrich (1996) reported that individuals newly diagnosed with diabetes find it difficult to adhere to a treatment plan and are in need of knowledge about self-management. Therefore, it can be said that as illness duration increases, patients’ knowledge and adherence to their health care regimen also increases which in turn increases their coping skills.

Dealing with a chronic illness is quite challenging. Immediately post the diagnosis of a chronic illness, people realise the condition will accompany them for the rest of their lives and they might have to adhere to a prescribed medical regimen. The very thought of restrictions in diet, modifications in lifestyle and adherence to pharmacological treatment creates havoc in them. Acceptance of a disease condition is closely linked to treatment adherence. A higher acceptance of a disease state is linked to greater treatment adherence (Jankowska-Pola ska, 2016). Baczewska et al. (2015) found a significant association between illness duration and acceptance of a disease indicating that an increase in illness duration is linked to an increase in illness acceptance. Further, a longer illness duration provides more time for psychological adaptation with the illness (Pinquart & Shen, 2011). Therefore, it can be observed that increased illness duration is associated with increased disease-specific knowledge, adherence to prescribed treatment and acceptance of illness; which in turn share a positive relationship with coping.

The second hypothesis postulated that there would be a difference in the level of anxiety, frustration, disappointment, rejection and disapproval between men and women. According to the results obtained for the difference between two groups, it can be inferred that men and women had a significant difference with respect to different dimensions of coping skills deficiency (disappointment, rejection and disapproval). The possible reasons for significant differences between men and women on coping skills might be attributed to the difference in their approach towards illness reflected in the way they respond to illnesses, acknowledge illnesses, their health behaviour and treatment received from their families and society (Vlasoff, 2007).

The mean scores of men and women in this study indicate that women experienced a higher amount of anxiety, frustration, disappointment and rejection compared to men. These differences in coping skills and the level of coping have been reported in previous literature as well (Frey, 2000;

Porter et al., 2000; Matud, 2004). However, no significant differences were found between the two genders on the dimensions of anxiety and frustration in the present study.

The third hypothesis stated that there would be an interaction effect of illness duration and gender on chronic illness deficiency. This hypothesis was rejected, indicating that the combined effect of illness duration and gender was not statistically higher than the sum of both the effects individually.

## **Implication**

The knowledge of coping skills deficiency will be useful in helping individuals to cope well with chronic illnesses. This study would help design appropriate interventions to negate the detrimental effects of coping deficiencies. Illness duration and gender must be taken into account while providing interventions. It emphasizes that the differences among individuals must be focused on. Hence, the present study has the potential to improve the lives of people affected by chronic illnesses. Overall, these results indicate that illness duration and gender are important factors that relate independently to the coping skills in chronic illness patients.

## **References**

1. Baczewska B, Kropornicka B, Sepioło J, Krzy anowska E, Olszak C, Szymczuk E, Daniluk J. Acceptance of illness and satisfaction with life among patients with arterial hypertension. *Health Problems of Civilization*. 2015; 9(3).
2. Bhandari N, Bhusal BR, Takma KC, Lawot I. Quality of life of patient with hypertension in Kathmandu. *International Journal of Nursing Sciences*. 2016 Dec 1; 3(4):379-84.
3. Brown MM, Brown AA, Jason LA. Illness duration and coping style in chronic fatigue syndrome. *Psychological reports*. 2010 Apr; 106(2):383-93.

4. Burns RJ, Deschênes SS, Schmitz N. Associations between coping strategies and mental health in individuals with type 2 diabetes: Prospective analyses. *Health Psychology*. 2016 Jan; 35(1):78.
5. Cecily HS. Knowledge on Prevention of Cerebro Vascular Accident among Patients with Diabetes and Hypertension in India. *IJSR International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)*. 2016; 5(3):1401-4.
6. Clarke D, Goosen T. The mediating effects of coping strategies in the relationship between automatic negative thoughts and depression in a clinical sample of diabetes patients. *Personality and individual differences*. 2009 Mar 1; 46(4):460-4.
7. Dietrich UC. Factors influencing the attitudes held by women with type II diabetes: a qualitative study. *Patient education and counseling*. 1996 Oct 1; 29(1):13-23.
8. Duangdao KM, Roesch SC. Coping with diabetes in adulthood: a meta-analysis. *Journal of behavioral medicine*. 2008 Aug 1 ;31(4):291-300.
9. Farca AD, N stas LE. Coping in patients with heart failure. *Religion*. 2011; 64:96.
10. Felton BJ, Revenson TA. Coping with chronic illness: a study of illness controllability and the influence of coping strategies on psychological adjustment. *Journal of consulting and clinical psychology*. 1984 Jun;52(3):343.
11. Frey JA. Gender differences in coping styles and coping effectiveness in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease groups. *Heart & lung*. 2000 Sep 1;29(5):367-77.
12. Grigsby AB, Anderson RJ, Freedland KE, Clouse RE, Lustman PJ. Prevalence of anxiety in adults with diabetes: a systematic review. *Journal of psychosomatic research*. 2002 Dec 1;53(6):1053-60.
13. Hagger MS, Koch S, Chatzisarantis NL, Orbell S. The common sense model of self-regulation: Meta-analysis and test of a process model. *Psychological Bulletin*. 2017 Nov;143(11):1117.
14. Jankowska-Pola ska B, Blicharska K, Uchmanowicz I, Morisky DE. The influence of illness acceptance on the adherence to pharmacological and non-pharmacological therapy in patients with hypertension. *European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing*. 2016 Dec 1;15(7):559-68.
15. Jankowska-Pola ska B, Chudiak A, Uchmanowicz I, Dudek K, Mazur G. Selected factors affecting adherence in the pharmacological treatment of arterial hypertension. *Patient preference and adherence*. 2017;11:363.
16. Kneck Å, Klang B, Fagerberg I. Learning to live with illness: experiences of persons with recent diagnoses of diabetes mellitus. *Scandinavian journal of caring sciences*. 2011 Sep;25(3):558-66
17. Knowles SR, Austin DW, Sivanesan S, Tye-Din J, Leung C, Wilson J, Castle D, Kamm MA, Macrae F, Hebbard G. Relations between symptom severity, illness perceptions, visceral sensitivity, coping strategies and well-being in irritable bowel syndrome guided by the common sense model of illness. *Psychology, health & medicine*. 2017 May 28;22(5):524-34.
18. Knowles SR, Wilson JL, Connell WR, Kamm MA. Preliminary examination of the relations between disease activity, illness perceptions, coping strategies, and psychological morbidity in Crohn's disease guided by the common sense model of illness. *Inflammatory bowel diseases*. 2011 Dec 1;17(12):2551-7.
19. Kyu HH, Abate D, Abate KH, Abay SM, Abbafati C, Abbasi N, Abbastabar H, Abd-Allah F, Abdela J, Abdelalim A, Abdollahpour I. Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) for 359 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *The Lancet*. 2018 Nov 10;392(10159):1859-922.

20. Lubkin IM, Larsen PD, editors. Chronic illness: Impact and interventions. Jones & Bartlett Learning; 2006.
21. Mandpe AS, Pandit VA, Dawane JS, Patel HR. Correlation of Disease Knowledge with Adherence to Drug Therapy, Blood Sugar Levels and Complications Associated with Disease among Type 2 Diabetic Patients. *J Diabetes Metab* 5: 369. doi: 10.4172/2155-6156.1000369 Page 2 of 5 *J Diabetes Metab* ISSN: 2155-6156 JDM, an open access journal Volume 5• Issue 5• 1000369. age ( $p < 0.127$ ) and gender ( $P < 0.385$ ) of patient with medication adherence (Table 1). Variables Freq fluency Adequate knowledge In adequ. 2014;3.
22. Matud MP. Gender differences in stress and coping styles. *Personality and individual differences*. 2004 Nov 1;37(7):1401-15.
23. Möller-Leimkühler AM. Gender differences in cardiovascular disease and comorbid depression. *Dialogues in clinical neuroscience*. 2007 Mar;9(1):71.
24. Moos RH. Coping with acute health crises. In *Handbook of clinical health psychology* 1982 (pp. 129-151). Springer, Boston, MA.
25. Moradkhani A, Kerwin L, Dudley-Brown S, Tabibian JH. Disease-specific knowledge, coping, and adherence in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Digestive diseases and sciences*. 2011 Oct 1;56(10):2972-7.
26. Najjar MA, D'emeh WM, Yacoub MI. Knowledge and Coping Strategies Among Patients Diagnosed With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *Global Journal of Health Science*. 2020;12(2):1-69.
27. Nethan S, Sinha D, Mehrotra R. Non communicable disease risk factors and their trends in India. *Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention: APJCP*. 2017; 18(7):2005.
28. Pinquart M, Shen Y. Depressive symptoms in children and adolescents with chronic physical illness: an updated meta-analysis. *Journal of pediatric psychology*. 2011 May 1; 36(4):375-84.
29. Porter LS, Marco CA, Schwartz JE, Neale JM, Shiffman S, Stone AA. Gender differences in coping: A comparison of trait and momentary assessments. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*. 2000 Dec;19(4):480-98.
30. Rassart J, Luyckx K, Klimstra TA, Moons P, Groven C, Weets I. Personality and illness adaptation in adults with Type 1 diabetes: The intervening role of illness coping and perceptions. *Journal of clinical psychology in medical settings*. 2014 Mar 1;21(1):41-55.
31. Read JN, Gorman BK. Gender and health inequality. *Annual Review of Sociology*. 2010 Aug 11;36:371-86.
32. Sarafino EP, Smith TW. *Health psychology: Biopsychosocial interactions*. John Wiley & Sons; 2014 Jan 13.
33. Sharoff K. *Coping skills therapy for managing chronic and terminal illness*. Springer Publishing Company; 2004 Feb 2.
34. Sinha S, Latha GS. Coping response to same stressors varies with gender. *National J Physiol Pharm Pharmacol*. 2018;7:1053-7.
35. Smári J, Valtýsdóttir H. Dispositional coping, psychological distress and disease-control in diabetes. *Personality and Individual Differences*. 1997 Feb 1;22(2):151-6.
36. Sridhar GR, Madhu K, Veena S, Madhavi R, Sangeetha BS, Rani A. Living with diabetes: Indian experience. *Diabetes & Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research & Reviews*. 2007 Sep 1;1(3):181-7.
37. Sweileh WM, Sa'ed HZ, Nab'a RJ, Deleq MI, Enaia MI, Sana'a MN, Al-Jabi SW. Influence of patients' disease knowledge and beliefs about medicines on medication adherence: findings from a cross-sectional survey among patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus in Palestine. *BMC public health*. 2014 Dec;14(1):1-8.
38. Taylor SE. *Health psychology*. McGraw-Hill Education; 2015.

39. Uchmanowicz I, Markiewicz K, Uchmanowicz B, Koltuniuk A, Rosi czuk J. The relationship between sleep disturbances and quality of life in elderly patients with hypertension. *Clinical interventions in aging*. 2019;14:155.
40. Vlassoff C. Gender differences in determinants and consequences of health and illness. *Journal of health, population, and nutrition*. 2007 Mar;25(1):47.
41. World Health Organization. Noncommunicable diseases. [Internet]. [place unknown]: [publisher unknown]; [updated 2021 April 13; cited 2021 July 10]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/noncommunicable-diseases>
42. Zhang CX, Tse LA, Ye XQ, Lin FY, Chen YM, Chen WQ. Moderating effects of coping styles on anxiety and depressive symptoms caused by psychological stress in Chinese patients with Type 2 diabetes. *Diabetic medicine*. 2009 Dec;26(12):1282-8.
43. Ziarko M, Mojs E, Piasecki B, Samborski W. The mediating role of dysfunctional coping in the relationship between beliefs about the disease and the level of depression in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *The Scientific World Journal*. 2014 Oct;2014.

| Access this Article in Online  |  |
|--|--|
|             | Website:<br><a href="http://www.ijarm.com">www.ijarm.com</a> |
|  | Subject:<br>Psychology                                       |
| Quick Response Code  |  |
| DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/10.22192/ijamr.2021.08.09.002">10.22192/ijamr.2021.08.09.002</a> |  |

How to cite this article:

Meera Padhy, Meena Hariharan, Oindrila Mukherjee, Suma Lavanya Mutnury, Varsha Anand. (2021). Effect of Illness Duration and Gender on Coping Skills Deficiency among Chronic Illness Patients. *Int. J. Adv. Multidiscip. Res.* 8(9): 13-25.  
DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22192/ijamr.2021.08.09.002>