

## Research Article

# Studies on the nutrient quality (NPK ratio) present in the vermicomposting of jackfruit leaf litter by earthworm *Lampito mauritii*

**A. Revathi, G. Manimegala and K. Pugazhendy\* and S. Prabakaran**

Department of Zoology, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar – 608 002, Tamil Nadu, India

Corresponding Author : [pugalendy@gmail.com](mailto:pugalendy@gmail.com)

### Keywords

Vermicompost,  
Jackfruit leaf litter,  
*Lampito mauritii* and  
NPK.

### Abstract

The nutrients are increasing the fertility value of jackfruit leaf litter it was planned to utilize the vermicompost production. *Lampito mauritii* in different mixtures of jackfruit leaf litter (JLI) with bedding material (BM) were studied for a period of 60 days. In all the experimental trials worms were weighed (15 mg / kg of medium) and inoculated in to the substrate. For chemical analysis, the samples were collected on 0, 30 and 60<sup>th</sup> day composts and vermicomposts. The macronutrients (NPK) were increased in all treatments and control after vermicomposting over initial substrate. The increased mineralization and conservation of nutrients are due to biocatalytic role of earthworms in the decomposition and conservation mechanisms during vermicomposting.

## Introduction

Vermicomposting is one of the ways to reduce the organic waste and its being practically used all over the world. Verms is a latin word for worms and vermicomposting is essential composting with worms (Ghatnekar *et al.*, 1998). All kinds of natural and discarded organic matters, unusable to men are considered as waste. Earthworms accelerate the mineralization rate and convert the manure into casts with higher nutritional value and degree of humification than traditional methods of composting (Albanell *et al.*, 1988). Various researchers have recommended that increase in the levels of the total nitrogen (Orozco *et al.*, 1996), total potassium (Tiwari *et al.*, 1989) and available N, P, K. (Vinotha *et al.*, 2000 and Suthar, 2007) during vermicomposting. Hence an attempt has been made to study the nutrient dynamics in the vermicompost produced from jackfruit leaf litter with bedding materials by using *L. mauritii* since no previous similar work was reported. *L.mauritii* in different mixtures of Jackfruit leaf litter (JLI) with bedding material was suited for a period of 60 days (Saranraj and Stella, 2012).

## Materials and Methods

*L. mauritii* were obtained from stock culture maintained in the department of zoology, Annamalai University. Jackfruit leaf litter were collected from different villages surrounding Neyveli, Tamil nadu and stored in jute bags. The dung was

collected from dairy yard at the faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University. Jackfruit leaf litter and bedding material mixed with various combinations all the mixture were maintained at 65-70% moisture content. For each treatment six replicates were maintained. Treatment substrates were prepared inn the following proportions on weight basis such as T<sub>1</sub> –JLI + BM (9:1), T<sub>2</sub> – JLI + BM (8:2), T<sub>3</sub> – JJLI + BM (7:3), T<sub>4</sub> – JLI + BM (6:4) and T<sub>5</sub> –JLI + BM (5:5) alone was used as control (C). In the present study the feed substrates were allowed for 20 days of initial natural decomposition. Chemical analysis (NPK) of worm un worked (initial substrate) and worm worked (vermicomposts) at the intervals of 0, 30 and 60<sup>th</sup> days. The statistical significance of the data was tested by one -way ANOVA.

## Results

In the present investigation of N, P, K (macronutrients) analysis in vermicomposts of *L. mauritii* (Jackfruit leaf litter and bedding material mixture) are presented in Table 1 - 3. For all the tables (one – way analysis of variance and comparison of means based on the Tukey's honestly significance difference test (HSD, P<0.05) were used to determine significant difference between treatments) were worked and presented in the respective table.

**Table-1 Nitrogen content (%) of the vermicompost of jackfruit leaf litter – bedding material mixture by *L. mauritii***

Substrate Proportions	Vermicomposting days		
	Initial (0)	30	60
C	1.56 ± 0.05	2.39 ± 0.08 cd (53.2)	2.62 ± 0.07c (67.9)
T <sub>1</sub>	1.24 ± 0.08	1.58 ± 0.09a (27.4)	1.80 ± 0.05a (45.1)
T <sub>2</sub>	1.31 ± 0.05	1.70 ± 0.07ab (29.7)	1.94 ± 0.05a (48.0)
T <sub>3</sub>	1.43 ± 0.08	1.91 ± 0.08b (33.5)	2.14 ± 0.09 ab (49.6)
T <sub>4</sub>	1.46 ± 0.09	2.18 ± 0.09c (49.3)	2.40 ± 0.09bc (64.3)
T <sub>5</sub>	1.50 ± 0.03	2.02 ± 0.06 bc (34.6)	2.34 ± 0.05b (56.0)

Mean value followed by different letters is significantly different (ANOVA; Tukey's test, P <0.05) ; C– Control, T1 (JLI + BM) - 9 : 1, T2 ((JLI + BM) - 8 :2, T3 (JLI + BM) - 7 :3, T4 (JLI + BM) - 6:4, T5 (JLI + BM) -5 :5. Initial (0) – Worm unworked Substrate, Mean ± SD of six observations. Values in parenthesis is percentage increase /decrease over the initial.

**Table – 2 Phosphorous content (%) of the vermicompost of jackfruit leaf litter bedding material mixture by *L. mauritii***

Substrate Proportions	Vermicomposting days		
	Initial (0)	30	60
C	1.12 ± 0.05	1.65 ± 0.07c (47.3)	2.12 ± 0.05 c(89.2)
T <sub>1</sub>	0.87 ± 0.03	1.08 ± 0.05 a (24.1)	1.23 ± 0.06 a(41.3)
T <sub>2</sub>	0.92 ± 0.04	1.15 ± 0.02 a (25.0)	1.36 ± 0.09a (47.8)
T <sub>3</sub>	0.99 ± 0.05	1.26 ± 0.06 ab (27.1)	1.51 ± 0.08 ab(52.5)
T <sub>4</sub>	1.02 ± 0.07	1.32 ± 0.07 c(29.4)	1.69 ± 0.05 b(65.6)
T <sub>5</sub>	1.10 ± 0.06	1.40 ± 0.09 b(27.2)	2.06 ± 0.05 c(87.2)

Mean value followed by different letters is significantly different (ANOVA; Tukey's test, P <0.05); C– Control, T1 (JLI + BM)- 9 : 1, T2 ((JLI + BM) -8 :2, T3 (JLI + BM) -7 :3, T4 (JLI + BM) -6:4, T5 (JLI + BM) -5 :5. Initial (0) – Worm unworked Substrate, Mean ± SD of six observation. Values in parenthesis are percentage increase /decrease over the initial

**Table – 3 Pottassium content (%) of the vermicompost of jackfruit leaf litter bedding material mixture by *L. mauritii***

Substrate Proportions	Vermicomposting days		
	Initial (0)	30	60
C	0.81± 0.03	1.17 ±0.05 bc (44.4)	1.28± 0.04 c (58.0)
T <sub>1</sub>	0.60 ± 0.05	0.78 ± 0.04 a (30.0)	0.84 ± 0.05 a (40.0)
T <sub>2</sub>	0.62 ± 0.07	0.81 ± 0.08 a (30.6)	0.89± 20.05 a (43.5)
T <sub>3</sub>	0.69 ± 0.08	0.91 ±0.06 ab (31.8)	1.02± 0.05 b (47.8)
T <sub>4</sub>	0.70 ± 0.06	1.02 ± 0.05 b (41.6)	1.10 ± 0.08 b (57.1)
T <sub>5</sub>	0.72 ± 0.06	0.97± 0.06ab ( 34.7)	1.07 ± 0.06 b (48.6)

Mean value followed by different letters is significantly different (ANOVA; Tukey's test, P <0.05); C– Control, T1 (JLI + BM) - 9 : 1, T2 ((JLI + BM) - 8 :2, T3 (JLI + BM) - 7 :3, T4 (JLI + BM) - 6:4, T5 (JLI + BM) - 5 :5. Initial (0) – Worm unworked Substrate, Mean ± SD of six observation. Values in parenthesis are percentage increase /decrease over the initial.

### Nitrogen (N%)

The changes observed in the availability of nitrogen in the 0, 30 and 60<sup>th</sup> day composts of various mixture are presented in Table - 1. The quantity of nitrogen increased from 0 day – 60<sup>th</sup> day, on initial the nitrogen present in C, T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>5</sub> were 1.56 ± 0.05, 1.24 ± 0.08, 1.31 ± 0.05, 1.43 ± 0.08, 1.46 ± 0.09 and 1.50 ± 0.03 respectively. Among various treatments of jackfruit leaf litter, C, T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>5</sub> showed a higher content of nitrogen than the T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> treatments. The efficiency of different treatments were found to be ranked in the following order on the basis of percentage change C (67.9%) > T<sub>4</sub> (64.3%) > T<sub>5</sub> (56.0%) > T<sub>3</sub> (49.6%) > T<sub>2</sub> (48.0%) and T<sub>1</sub> (45.1%) respectively on 60<sup>th</sup> day. The T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> were significantly different from the other treatment.

### Phosphorus (P%)

The quantity of phosphorus present in the 0, 30 and 60<sup>th</sup> day vermicomposts made by *L. mauritii* are presented in table 2. The level of P increased gradually in control and in all treatments. The maximum percentage change of phosphorus over the initial were found in C (89.2%), it was followed by T<sub>5</sub> (87.2%), T<sub>4</sub> (65.6%), T<sub>3</sub> (52.5%), T<sub>2</sub> (47.8%) and T<sub>1</sub> (41.3%) on 60<sup>th</sup> day in jackfruit leaf litter + bedding material mixture. Among the treatments the C and T<sub>5</sub> were significantly different than the other combinations.

### Potassium (K%)

The quantity of potassium present in the substrates is presented in table 3. The availability of K on the initial day was 0.81± 0.003, 0.60 + 0.005, 0.62 ± 0.007, 0.69 ± 0.008, 0.70 ± 0.006 and 0.72 ± 0.006 in C, T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>5</sub> respectively. The amount of potassium increased in all observations from the initial value. Among the treatments the highest mineralization of potassium was found on the basic of percentage change over the initial in C (58.0%) followed by T<sub>4</sub> (57.1%), T<sub>5</sub> (48.6%), T<sub>3</sub> (47.8%) and the minimum mineralization in T<sub>2</sub> (43.5%) and T<sub>1</sub> (40.0%) on 60<sup>th</sup> day. The control (C) were significant different form the other treatments.

## Discussion

The increased level of macro nutrients (NPK) in the vermicomposts were in confirm with the results of earlier workers (Saranraj and Stella, 2014). Kale (1988) observed a significant increase in available N, P, K in worm worked cow dung and sheep dung. Increased quantity of N, P and K was reported in the worm casts than the starting feed material (Orozco *et al.*, 1996; Edwards and Bohlen; 1996). Ramalingam *et al.* (1998) demonstrated significant increase in the content of N, P and K in the compost of *E. eugeniae* and *L. mauritii*. Ramalingam and Thilagar (2000) found that

the level of N, P, K Ca, Mg and Mn have increased in the worm worked compost of sugar cane wastes than the worm un worked compost.

Parthasarathi (2002) demonstrated increased N,P, K in the soil and pressmud after the inoculation of *P. excavatus* and *E. fetida*. Increased content of total N,P,K from the initial levels of vermibed mixtures showed that the activity of earthworms and microbes brought about rapid mineralization (Umamaheswari and Vijayalakshmi 2005).The inoculation of *E. eugeniae* in the cowdung + bagasse mixture increased the N,P,K content in the final product than the initial feed mixtures (Ananthakrishnasamy *et al.*, 2007; Suresh Kumar *et al.*, 2011). They added that it was due to the effective decomposition of organic waste took place when passed through the gut of worms.

Karmegam and Daniel (2009) have reported that the higher percentage increase of NPK in vermicompost produced by *L. mauritii* and *P. ceylanensis* in different type organic waste than in worm – unworked compost due to the mineralization process caused by earthworm action along with microorganisms organic materials. The highest mineralization of NPK was observed in T<sub>3</sub> (CD + FA (3:1) and it might be due to the availability of higher nutrients for earthworms and good medium for the multiplication of microbes (Ananthakrishnasamy *et al.* 2009).

Manimegala (2011) stated that the increased levels of NPK was observed in the T<sub>2</sub> (FA 3: CL1 3.5: CD3.5) and T<sub>7</sub> (FA 3: JLI 3.5: CD 3.5) vermicompost over the initial, it may be due optimal moisture, availability of higher nutrients for earthworms and suitable medium for multiplication of microbes. Mane and Raskar smitha (2012) stated that the higher mineralization of agriculture waste from market yard by the earthworm *E. fetida* and *E. eugeniae* was observed.

## Conclusion

In the present investigation concluded the increased N, P, K was due to higher mineralization during vermicomposting of jackfruit leaf litter and bedding material mixture. In present studies the mineralization of NPK was higher in C (BM alone and T4 (60 JLI + 40 BM) treatments than the other treatments.

## Acknowledgments

The author are thankful to the professor and Head, Department of Zoology, Annamalai University and thanks to Soil Chemistry, EID parry (I) Ltd., pugalur to estimate the nutrient analysis for their technical help.

## References

- Albanell, E., Plaixats, cabreso T.S. 1988. Chemical changes during vermicomposting (*Eisenia fetida*) of sheep manure mixed with cotton industrial wastes. Biol fertil Soil. 6: 266 – 299.
- Ananthakrishnasamy, S., Manimegala, G., Sarojini, S. Gunasekarn, G., Parthasarathi, K. 2007. Growth and reproduction of earthworm, *Eudrilus eugeniae* in bagasse; A sugar industrial waster J. Zoo. Res. 18 (2) : 149 – 155.
- Ananthakrishnasamy, S., Sarojini, S., Gunasekaran, G., Manimegala, G. 2009. Fly ash – A lignite waste management through vermicomposting by indigenous earthworms *Lampito mauritii*. Am. Eur. J. Agri. Environ. Sci. 5(6): 720-724.
- Edwards, C.A., Bohlen, P.J. 1996. Biology and Ecology of Earthworms. 3rd edition, Chapman and Hall Publication, London, UK, pp. 202-217.
- Ghatnekar, S.D., Mahavash, F.K., Ghatnegar, G.S. (1998). Management of solid waste through vermiculture biotechnology, Ecotechnology for pollution control and Environmental management. PP. 58 – 67.
- Kale, R.D. 1988. Annelids (Terrestrial oligochaetes). In: Applied Soil Biology and Ecology. (Eds.) Veeresh, G.K. and Rajagopal, D., Oxford and IBH Publ. Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, pp. 90-110.
- Karmegam, N., Daniel, T. 2009. Investigation efficiency of *Lampito mauritii* (Kinberg) and *Perionyx ceylanensis Michaelsen* for vermicomposting of different types of organic substrates. Environmentalist. 29: 287-300.
- Mane, T.T., Raskar smita, S. 2012. Management of Agriculture waste from market yard through vermicomposting. Research Journal of Recent Sciences. Vol (1), PP. 289 – 296.
- Manimegala, G. 2011. Studies on the vermicomposting of lignite fly ash with cow dung, cashew and jackfruit leaf litter by *L. mauritii* (kinberg), Analysis of (macronutrients, microbes, enzymes) vermicompost and its effort on the growth and yield of egg plant (*Solanum melongena L.*) Ph.D. Thesis, Annamalai University, India.
- Orozco, F.M., Cegarra, J., Trujillo, L.M., Roig, A. 1996. Vermicomposting of coffee pulp using the earthworm *Eisenia fetida*: Effect on C and N contents and the availability of nutrient. Biol. Fertil. Soils. 22: 162-166.
- Parthasarathi, K. 2002. Microbial populations, enzyme activities and nitrogen phosphorus – potassium enrichment in the soil and pressmud casts of *perionyx excavatus* (perrier) and *Eisenia fetida* (savingny). J.Nacton 1 (14) : 15 – 19.
- Ramalingam, R., Parthasarathi, K., Ranganathan, L.S. 1998. Recycling of organic wastes in agriculture by vermiculture biotechnology – Proc. A. biofarming approach international conference on environment and agriculture, November 1 -3, Kathmandu, Nepal, 148.
- Ramalingam, R., Thilagar, M. 2000. Bioconversion of agro – waste sugarcane trash using and an Indian epigeic earthworm, *perionyx excavatus* (perrier); Indian J. Environ and Ecoplant. 3 (3) : 447 – 452.
- Saranraj, P and D. Stella. 2012. Vermicomposting and its importance in improvement of soil nutrients and agricultural crops. *Novus Natural Science Research*, 1 (1): 14-23.
- Saranraj, P and D. Stella. 2014. Composting of sugar mill wastes: A Review. *World Applied Science Journal*, 31(12): 2029 – 2044.
- Suresh Kumar, R., P. Ganesh, K. Tharmaraj and P. Saranraj. 2011. Growth and development of black gram (*Vigna mungo*) under foliar application of Panchagavya as organic source of nutrient. *Current Botany*, 2 (3): 9 - 11.
- Suthar, S. 2007. Nutrient changes and biodynamics of epigeic earthworm *Perionyx excavatus* (Perrier) during recycling of some agriculture wastes. *Biores. Technol.* 98: 1608 – 1614.
- Tiwari, S.C., Tiwari., B.K., Mishra R.P. 1989. Microbial populations, enzyme activities and nitrogen - phosphorus – potassium enrichment in earthworm casts and in the surrounding soil of a pineapple plantation. *Biol. Fertil soils* . 8 : 178 – 182.
- Umamaheswari, S., Vijayalakshmi, G.S. 2005. Influence of vermicompost on the growth and yield of black gram (*Phaseolus mungo*). *Ecol. Env. Conser.* 12(1): 53-56.
- Vinotha, S.P., Parthasarathi K.S. Ranganathan L.S. 2000. Enhanced phosphates activity in earthworm casts is more of microbial organic. *Curr . Sci.* 79 ( 9 ) : 1158 – 1159.